

MINUTES OF MEETING
CELEBRATION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Celebration Community Development District was held Thursday, June 30, 2005 at 4:30 p.m. at Town Hall, 690 Celebration Avenue, Celebration, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Richard Quinn	Chairman
Pete Crow	Vice Chairman
Steve Katz	Secretary
Linda James	Supervisor

Also present were:

Gary Moyer	District Manager: Severn Trent Services
Shawn Hindle	Engineer: Hanson Walter
Matthew Forbes	Walt Disney Imagineering
Joe Harless	Celebration Independent
Tabatha Miller	District Manager's Office
Brian Smith	District Manager's Office
Members of the Public	

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Quinn led the *Pledge of Allegiance*.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Quinn called the meeting to order.

Mr. Moyer called the roll and noted a quorum was present.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comments

There being no comments, the next item followed.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

District Manager's Report

A. Financial Statements

Mr. Moyer stated this Board has not received financial statements for the past several months because Severn Trent, who does the District's accounting, installed a new computer and software system for accounting. They have had a difficult time getting it running. It is my understanding that they have now field tested it and it is operating properly, so they will start producing financial statements going forward.

B. Approval of Invoices

Mr. Moyer reviewed the invoices presented for approval, as provided in the agenda package.

Mr. Quinn asked if the system is now getting up and running, how do we stand with paying the invoices when the other pieces of the process are not working?

Mr. Moyer stated they are keeping current on paying invoices. You are just not receiving balance sheets and income statements to show where the money is going and into what categories.

Mr. Quinn stated a couple months ago, the representative from Severn Trent committed to the District that we will have an accountant on site. Where do we stand with that?

Mr. Moyer stated they are interviewing for that position. They have every intent to fulfill that commitment and have an on-site accountant.

On MOTION by Mr. Katz, seconded by Mr. Crow, with all in favor, approval was given to the invoices.

C. Update Regarding On-Street Parking

Mr. Moyer stated Mr. Katz requested we provide information related to the parking availability within the community, and several documents were provided to the Board in response to that request. One was generated by PBS&J that shows the amount of parking area that was planned, which is the colored map. Mr. Smith had one of his staff physically walk the community and count parking spaces, which is provided on the second sheet. For all of the areas that were counted, it shows 1,341 spaces, but some of those are outside of the downtown area. The larger map identifies where those parking spaces are.

Mr. Katz stated the information I am interested in receiving is the number of on-street parking spaces in the downtown area, which I would define as Celebration Avenue at Mulberry, going through Lakeside all the way to Stetson University. The area north of Celebration Avenue where the Presbyterian Church is going toward the golf course, nothing near the school, and nothing past Campus Street or Stetson should be included in that count. I would like the spaces actually counted. I know along Celebration Avenue across from the

church is parallel parking, but most of the other areas are diagonal parking spaces. I would appreciate that information because I think it is more relevant.

Mr. Moyer stated staff was under the impression that you requested that count for the whole community, all the villages. You are really only concerned about the downtown area?

Mr. Katz stated we want to satisfy the community's inquiry as to how many on-street parking spaces there are. As a later study, we can determine how many parking spaces there are throughout Celebration.

Mr. Moyer stated we will be able to provide that information quickly.

Mr. Quinn stated in the other villages, the vast majority of the on-street spaces are not marked parking spaces. There is no real way to count those.

Mr. Katz stated the standard is 20 feet per car.

Mr. Quinn stated in driving around several of the cul-de-sacs and areas, there are several locations where there really should not be any on-street parking because parked vehicles will prevent access for fire and other emergency vehicles. The fire chief who lives on one of those streets mentioned that to me, where in front of some homes in North Village, if residents parked their cars in front of their homes, the fire trucks will not be able to get through the street or have access for their hose.

Mr. Katz stated there are also some corners throughout Celebration that have too many spaces opposite peoples' homes.

D. Report Regarding Number of Registered Voters – 4,413

Mr. Moyer stated Chapter 190 requires that annually we enter into the public record the number of registered voters, which is 4,413. The purpose for this information is for this District, when we became 10 years old, if there were 500 registered voters, then we started a conversion where residents were elected to the Board, which we did in 2004. We clearly exceed 500 registered voters, but the law specifies that both thresholds must be met.

Mr. Quinn asked do we know how many permanent residents there are in Celebration?

Mr. Moyer stated we would have that information as of the 2000 Census, but that would not be accurate at this point.

Mr. Quinn stated I am trying to determine where we stand with regard to the County directing us to implement the process on incorporating.

Mr. Moyer stated the Department of Community Affairs was charged with monitoring that and telling us when we have reached that threshold. They use census data, so it will probably be a while before they contact us. Celebration CDD is about 6,000 acres, which is not all developable land, but the law does not deal with that. Chapter 163 says that in order to incorporate, you must have a population density of 1.5 persons per acre. When you run those numbers, it is about 9,100 people.

Mr. Katz stated most engineers use 3.5 people per single-family home to determine sewer capacity.

Mr. Quinn stated I believe the average County-wide in the 2000 Census was 2.74 people per single-family home. When we reach that threshold, then what happens?

Mr. Moyer stated the District is required to have a referendum on the ballot, whether the properties within the District should be incorporated or not. That involves a different set of processes if the decision is made to incorporate. We will have to prepare a Charter for the community. The Legislature will have to adopt it. The residents and registered voters of the District will have to vote on that Charter to approve it. If it is approved, then the special act will require that we have a general election to elect town commissioners.

Mr. Katz stated there are two separate actions. One is the referendum to determine if we want to incorporate—not we are going to incorporate. Approving the Charter is a different step if the community decides to go through the process of incorporating. That process is something the Department of Community Affairs will mandate be held once they determine that the population threshold has been exceeded.

Mr. Quinn asked will we oversee that and will we have the responsibility for scheduling the referendum?

Mr. Moyer stated yes, the first referendum.

Mr. Quinn asked who prepares the Charter?

Mr. Moyer stated the District would not have to prepare that, but a Charter will have to be prepared.

Mr. Quinn stated the District has the ability to take a leadership role in preparing that; there is nothing in the Statutes that prohibits the District from not only participating in the process but taking a lead in it.

Mr. Moyer stated the only District that converted to a municipality did exactly that. The CDD Board took that leadership role and proceeded toward incorporation and preparing the Charter.

Mr. Quinn asked how close are we to that conversion?

Mr. Moyer stated we are probably at 7,500 to 8,000 residents, but I think we have a ways to go yet.

Mr. Katz stated perhaps the completion and occupancy of Artisan Park will provide the necessary number of residents.

Mr. Moyer stated I can give you a more accurate update once I look at the number of units.

Mr. Quinn stated there is nothing unique about the geography of Celebration that will trigger the other clause in the incorporation Statute referring to an extraordinary circumstance.

Mr. Moyer stated the reason for the extraordinary circumstance is if you did not meet the other criteria, which is two miles from another City. We are not within two miles of another City, so you meet that threshold. If you did not meet that threshold, then you will look at other geographic features that will make this piece of land unique. In Weston's case, they were right across the road from the City of Sunrise. The local legislative delegation found that because Weston was an isolated enclave, isolated by major interstate highways, that satisfied the unique feature of the Statute, and they incorporated Weston.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Update Regarding Status of Roadway Acceptance

Mr. Hindle stated we completed the acceptance for approximately 60 roadways. There are nine roadway segments that are left, with Beak Street making ten segments. We met with the County over a week ago and they were scheduled to do a final punch list this week on those nine streets. From those punch lists, we will address each individually for final completion. We will make revisions and corrections starting with ones that are easiest to complete, and we will get the County to approve those roadway segments one at a time. These roadway segments are scattered throughout the community and the punch list items should be minor. We continue to negotiate those issues and we should have that information by the end of next week.

Mr. Quinn asked how long do you anticipate before the remaining roadways are accepted?

Mr. Hindle stated it is hard to estimate not knowing what the final issues are. Once they define those final issues for us, it should not take long. They have gone through this process before and many things have been addressed. Depending how difficult those issues are will determine how long they will take to address. I would say everything should be complete within 90 days.

Mr. Quinn asked have all the roads in Artisan Park been accepted by the County?

Mr. Hindle stated once they complete construction and pass the final punch list and substantial completion, they will be transferred to the County.

Mr. Quinn stated I noticed they have started to make some surface repairs to Celebration Avenue between Waterside Drive and Roseville Corner. Are those permanent or temporary repairs? They appear to be more temporary than permanent.

Mr. Hindle stated there was an issue with some failed sewers, and they are filling some of the cavities in the roads. The sewers are 13 or 14 feet deep, so it will be a major effort to repair those. When they repair those, there are two problems the County had with the roadway, so we are going to ask them to fix those at the same time.

Mr. Quinn asked is there a timeframe when that will start? It will significantly restrict the traffic flow on Celebration Avenue because they will have to close one side.

Mr. Hindle stated we prefer that starts in the fall outside of the rainy season because then it should only take a week to complete.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Update Regarding Status of Beak Street Repairs

Mr. Hindle stated I drove the roads today and they drove very well. There are strip drains along the side of the roadway to help protect that roadway. We suggest another 30 days to evaluate the roadway to make sure everything is holding up, and at that point we will go to the County and request they perform whatever tests they need to determine that the roadway is fine. We feel good about the improvements that were made to the roadway.

Mr. Quinn stated when the roadway was built—regardless of underlying problems—my understanding is that it was not built to support the parking lot and all the paver areas that were installed. What impact does the parking lot next to the bridge have on water flows? Are

we looking at a problem that exists more or less because we have an extraneous parking lot next to it as opposed to having no parking lot?

Mr. Hindle stated when we initially evaluated the roadway, we found a couple things. One, the outfall of the pond that it discharges to is staged a couple feet higher than the pond across the street. I questioned that in the beginning and when I looked at the inlets, the inlets were even higher than what the plans called for. We walked the pond and requested Mr. Smith to look at why the level was so high. We found there was a blockage in the structure. I am not sure how long the blockage was there because the water level had always been high in those inlets. That could be one cause for the degradation of the base. When we did some investigations along the curb, we saw irrigation water was very heavy and there was staining on curbs from water coming over the top of the curbs, which is not normal. That told me there was water coming from underneath. When we looked at that issue, when they were doing some excavations on the roadways to do some repairs, we found that some utilities going through the underdrains, and the underdrains were not operating. The purpose of a strip drain is to remove the water, which is degrading to the base. I do not believe the parking lot is an issue; that is a surface water issue for the drainage off that area. I think there is an issue with additional traffic. When that area was being built and the models were in that area, it was not unusual to have 500 to 700 cars on a weekend day in that area, and the road was not designed for that. The road was designed for local traffic, which is about 200 cars per day, 8 to 20 loadings. When you include construction traffic, that road took a pounding. I believe that has all been corrected and we need to evaluate the strip drains to make sure it is protecting that base. If it is, then we are done. If it is not, then we will come up with a solution.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion Regarding Meeting Schedule

A. July 13 Budget Workshop and July 28 Regular Meeting

B. Remainder of Fiscal Year 2005

Mr. Quinn stated I am not available on July 13 for a meeting.

Mr. Katz stated I requested this item be placed on the agenda so we can schedule the rest of our calendar year and perhaps discuss reducing the number of workshops to be for special purposes. We have two more workshops and one is to discuss the budget. We just closed on our bond issue and we have over \$1.8 million in construction funds. I think we should have a

construction workshop to accomplish several things, one of which is to discuss the timing. We have some investment decisions regarding how far out to schedule and provide that to the trustee so we can get the best uses of those funds. I also think we should discuss what we will do immediately, look at the budget first and see what we have included for capital improvements and see what we can use these construction funds for. I suggest we have just two more workshops. If there is another one necessary, we can schedule another workshop. I suggest July and August will complete our workshop sessions. If July 13 does not work, I recommend July 14 at 5:00 p.m.

Mr. Quinn stated anytime other than the 13th fits my schedule.

Mr. Katz stated we will have our regular meeting at the end of July as well as a meeting on August 25 to adopt the budget. I would like to schedule a construction workshop for August at the July meeting.

Mr. Quinn stated I agree with Mr. Katz. The workshops served a very valuable and useful purpose over the course of the past six months. I think we can scale those back dramatically unless we have something that arises that is significant.

Mr. Crow stated we scheduled workshops for the rest of the year. You are saying we may or may not have a workshop in August.

Mr. Katz stated that is correct.

Ms. James asked will Mr. Moyer's office send a meeting notice to us to confirm the meeting time for the next few meetings?

Mr. Moyer stated yes.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

With the attorney absent, the next item followed

B. Engineer

Mr. Hindle stated the County Commission did approve the four-way stop at Spring Park Street. They have already issued the work directive to County staff, so it is in process.

C. District Representative

Mr. Forbes stated at the last meeting, the Board asked me to look at Beak Street to estimate the costs for the repair. I spoke with Mr. Walter on the phone this morning and have also talked with the engineer of record. We concur that there are no further repairs needed, so any additional costs should be zero. If the County holds to their position when it was repaired

a year ago, we believe the construction-only costs will be approximately \$65,000. Additional costs will be for design and construction management.

Mr. Katz asked who is the construction manager?

Mr. Forbes stated Jordan Companies, who is a subconsultant to PBS&J. PBS&J is doing the final design.

Mr. Katz asked what do you estimate for design and construction management?

Mr. Forbes stated even if we proved to the County that no repairs are needed, there would still be some costs, up to full design and construction-phase services, which could be 30% of construction costs. So the high-end estimate is \$87,000 to \$90,000. The construction management services would be included in that total number. The County would need to approve the final cost estimate.

Mr. Katz asked what kinds of repairs are anticipated within the \$65,000 construction estimate?

Mr. Forbes stated conceptual design is a crushed concrete that will be installed and maintained in a wet condition. Right now it is clay, sand and soil cement condition. We will be tearing out the affected area and replacing it with crushed concrete mix and repaving of the area. We estimated that could be up to \$65,000.

Mr. Katz asked do you think the County will not require any more repair than that? Is that your maximum estimate?

Mr. Forbes stated the first step is getting approval from the County that we have identified the correct scope for the repair and the limits of the repair. That task happens after the other roadway acceptance items are complete.

Mr. Moyer asked what is the worst-case scenario? What could they require us to do that might cost a significant amount of money and make very little sense?

Mr. Forbes stated the risk is not necessarily with the County. If we open the drains and find subsurface conditions that we did not anticipate, or we accidentally hit a utility line and have to make the repair, those are high-end exposures.

Mr. Quinn asked when can we expect an estimate that is reliable?

Mr. Forbes stated when the County approves the design.

Mr. Quinn asked when do you anticipate presenting a design to the County for them to approve?

Mr. Hindle stated we will ask PBS&J to wait with that design until we have a plan for conveying those last nine roadways. We do not want the Commission to use Beak Street not to accept these other streets. Once we have a plan, then we can submit a design to the County.

Mr. Quinn stated you anticipate getting a punch list for the nine roadways this week. How long will it take you to determine the plan for addressing the punch list items for those nine roadways?

Mr. Hindle stated about two weeks.

Mr. Quinn asked how long will it take for the County to approve the plan for the punch list items?

Mr. Hindle stated about two weeks.

Mr. Katz stated by our next meeting in July, he should have the punch list items from the County.

Mr. Hindle stated we anticipate having a plan to address those punch list items for your next meeting. The County has already accepted the uniform concrete base in a similar situation on two other projects of ours, so there is now a precedence set now. It was also accepted by DOT.

Mr. Forbes stated the design contract for construction management services is scheduled to terminate in mid-July. When we have a more refined schedule established, you will need to negotiate a contract extension with that firm. There is a work effort involved once the schedule is defined.

Mr. Katz asked what is the role of Hanson Walter related to Beak Street?

Mr. Forbes stated the engineering team from Hanson Walter remains in a peer review liaison with the County and are not integral in the engineering aspects of the repair.

Mr. Hindle stated peer review for professional engineers means we go through a lot of Florida Statute requirements. When it goes beyond that, then we need to do notifications and other processes.

Mr. Katz stated I think that is the right way to handle it.

Mr. Quinn asked when does the District Representative's contract expire?

Mr. Forbes stated it expired earlier this year. We are no longer charging for our services related to the Beak Street repair.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor Requests

Mr. Crow stated the Enterprise CDD is installing new meters. Can someone explain what that is for?

Mr. Moyer stated all of Celebration uses an excessive amount of irrigation water, as Mr. Hindle referenced in his explanation earlier. Since it is a resource that we need to be concerned about, Enterprise CDD performed a rate study and the intent was that we meter all water that is used in Celebration, both potable and irrigation. Enterprise CDD is installing irrigation meters. If you use a reasonable amount of water that is in line with the recommendations of the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD), then your water bill will not change. Your bill will include water, sewer and irrigation, because we are now metering irrigation use. In total, your utility bill will be very close to what it was when we were not metering irrigation. However, if you exceed what is considered to be normal, then there are going to be penalties in terms of increased rates that the residents will have to pay for excessive use of irrigation water. That is consistent with the recommendations of SFWMD. They like these stepped penalty rate structures where people who are using an excessive amount of water get financially penalized for it. That is what Enterprise CDD is in the process of implementing.

Mr. Crow asked is Enterprise CDD covering all the costs of installation, meter readers and other costs?

Mr. Moyer stated yes. No one is being billed for this installation; Enterprise CDD is covering all those costs.

Mr. Katz stated reuse water is a benefit to us instead of using potable water to irrigate our yards. In other parts of the County where they use potable water, your water bill gets really high when you water your yard, and your sewer charges increase since your water charges are higher. It is my understanding that our reuse water charges may increase because it is now metered but the sewer charges will decrease.

Mr. Moyer stated that is correct.

Mr. Quinn asked have residents been informed of this process?

Mr. Moyer stated yes, we have sent a couple notices.

Mr. Crow stated I received another letter from Mr. Smith in yesterday's mail informing me that they will be coming around and installing these meters and that they will be notifying the homeowners in advance so you know when they will be working on your street.

Mr. Moyer stated we started discussions on this a year ago and we sent a similar letter to everyone about eight months ago. Because there was so much time since that first letter, we sent a second letter. When all of the meters are installed, we will send another letter that will explain what we are trying to accomplish and will show the rates, and if you go over the standard reuse gallonage, it will show how much you will pay.

Mr. Crow stated with regard to Lake Rianhard, I have been in contact with the group that initiated his memorial. As soon as we are able to do so, I think we need to inform them of the cost of the sign. It looks like we may be at the point when we can get the sign ordered. In accordance with my understanding of the desires of the Board, I did inform the group working on this that our staff will design, order and install the sign. I forwarded the email correspondence to Mr. Moyer and his staff. If the Board would like me to continue in this matter, I am happy to continue handling it.

Mr. Quinn stated the impression I got from Ms. Stuart at our last meeting was that she had not received any communication from that group. We need this group to communicate with Ms. Stuart that in the Resolution naming the lake that the language is fitting and appropriate.

Mr. Moyer stated Mr. Crow included that in his email to the group, so they are aware of it. I think they are working on putting together all the good things that Mr. Rianhard did for the community, which will be included in the Resolution. Regarding the cost of the signs, it is \$400 for a sign like at Savannah Square.

Mr. Katz asked where will you place the sign?

Mr. Crow stated I believe we left it at the discretion of staff.

Mr. Quinn stated my personal preference would be to see it somewhere on the Front Street steps. That would seem to be the most appropriate place to put it.

Mr. Crow stated I will communicate all that to the working group. Is there enough money to cover the cost of the sign?

Mr. Moyer stated we will look to see if it can be mounted on the steps going to the lake. I do not see any reason why it cannot be done that way. Installation will not be a major expense.

Mr. Crow stated I would like to make a motion expressing the appreciation of the Board to Mr. Katz for his service on the recent bond issue. My suggested wording would be “the Celebration CDD expresses its deep appreciation for the long and selfless efforts which Mr. Katz brought to the recent bond issue. Mr. Katz researched the feasibility and did the work pro bono, and oversaw discussions with the various agencies and successfully saw the refunding of certain CDD bonds, which will be of great benefit to the Celebration community. We hereby express our deepest appreciation and respect for his efforts.”

On MOTION by Mr. Crow, seconded by Ms. James, with all in favor, approval was given to formally recognize and extend the Board’s thanks and gratitude to Steve Katz for his work on the recent bond issue.

Mr. Katz stated there is nothing to report on the Carlyle agreement. Their attorney is out of town and we are waiting for him to come up with a final draft of the documentation.

Mr. Quinn stated when we had the discussion earlier in the meeting about the incorporation process, I think it will be very beneficial to have Mr. Moyer or his staff put together a chronology of the pieces of the process, starting with the referendum, including what happens and when. I think we should present it at some point to the community. Given the fact that Weston is the only CDD that incorporated into a municipality, if we got to that point in the process where the community voted in a referendum that they wanted to incorporate, what is the probability of using the Weston Charter as a starting point to develop a Charter for Celebration?

Mr. Moyer stated it is all public record and they cannot deny you using it. That would be a good place to start.

Mr. Katz stated Enterprise CDD controls the water and sewer for the community. Is there a process where they can relinquish that? Can they let this District handle the water and sewer within Celebration?

Mr. Moyer stated they could do it by interlocal agreement.

Mr. Katz stated they own the lines and they have bonds that are supported by the revenues of the bonds. An interlocal agreement would not give us the ability to own the lines or set the

rates. The City would also have that same disability because Enterprise is outside the City's boundaries, and yet they are the ones who control the water and sewer rates. Is there a process for Enterprise to actually let us take over their debt and acquire their assets?

Mr. Moyer stated there is. The process that comes to mind in the Statute is for a merger.

Mr. Katz asked can we annex a piece of their District that is not developed and merge the remaining parts into Celebration CDD?

Mr. Moyer stated yes. The merger process is very similar to the creation process, which means you make a petition. In this case, it is the Governor and Cabinet sitting as the Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission, and part of that petitioning process is to come up with a legal description of the resulting combined District. In this case, there will be two components: east of I-4 and west of I-4. We could do some creative drafting and accomplish that through the merger process.

Mr. Quinn stated my understanding of why you would want to pursue something like that is if something like that were not to occur, then there is a financial disincentive related to a pot of money that is not there.

Mr. Katz stated the City needs to control its municipal services. If another entity controls the water and sewer and we are in charge of drainage and right-of-ways within the City, it is basically a Homeowner Association Charter. Enterprise CDD could dissolve itself into two Districts. There is an area that is not developed and they could split that area off.

Mr. Moyer stated that is correct, but it would have to be voluntary. Celebration CDD could not force Enterprise CDD to do that.

Mr. Katz stated they could split off the undeveloped land and we would then be able to have the greater Celebration area with the water and sewer facilities inside our boundaries. Is that something worth pursuing?

Mr. Moyer stated all of the reasons you gave for the City to control the water and sewer is exactly how the developer feels about it, as well.

Mr. Katz stated they need utilities to serve their property. There is plenty of capacity for water and sewer to serve their property.

Mr. Moyer stated I think the concerns that a developer would have could certainly be addressed in an agreement. I have not talked with The Celebration Company to see if they are interested in doing that.

Mr. Katz stated to me, that is part of the incorporation theory.

Mr. Crow asked what relationship, if any, do we want to have with Bob Kupcheck's land incorporation group? Do we want to be a distant observer? He asked me to serve on his group primarily because of some comments I made on the transition task force, and I was on that group up until the time I was elected to this Board. He keeps me updated. Is this an appropriate time to get involved?

Mr. Quinn stated what Mr. Kupocheck's group is doing is the kind of grass roots effort that over time will identify many issues and questions where there are no easy solutions, such as the water and sewer issue. They will require a collaborative, concerted, and cohesive effort on the part of several groups to see whether or not solutions can be found. I think at the point in time when the process is going to move forward, I think it is incumbent upon the District to take a leadership role in the process. Between now and then, my suggestion is if this group needs information relative to the things that they are handling, that they should be able to come to the District for that information.

Mr. Moyer stated I have already met with them.

Mr. Katz stated we do not have the population base to even hold a referendum. I think we need to wait until we have the population base and then have the referendum. If the referendum indicates that the community wants to incorporate, leadership needs to step forward quickly. I would like for this group to continue their grass roots approach until the time is officially here for us to be involved.

Mr. Crow stated that is the same position I have. I support Mr. Quinn's suggestion to have a chronology of events about this process just to alleviate questions and any confusion their might be. That would be a very positive thing to do.

Mr. Quinn stated I think that is a fundamental function of a grass roots efforts, that it should be allowed to function as a grass roots effort to go wherever it is going to go. When it is time for a process to be initiated, that is when the District needs to become very actively involved in the process.

Mr. Moyer stated even though it is process driven and there are laws that determine the process, it is primarily a political process.

Mr. Katz stated we need to give support for local legislators and the County and the City to get them to agree that the City of Celebration could exist. Those people are the ones who

push it through the legislative process. It is not the will of the residents of Celebration by themselves that will make it happen. The Charter is the end result.

Mr. Quinn stated the more you can align yourself with the appropriate politically appropriate process and the Statutes and the players involved, the less likely you are to have a well-intentioned effort by a grass roots group turn into a train wreck.

Mr. Crow stated it is my sense that we still need information at this point. If we can keep the process at bay for a period of time, I think the community will be best served.

Mr. Quinn stated I think we are all in agreement regarding this process from the discussion I am hearing.

Ms. James stated I do not disagree with anything that was said, and I am still learning the process.

Mr. Crow stated when I was on this committee, I said that I was neither in favor of or against the incorporation. I encouraged the group to continue their investigation efforts. I wanted to keep them at arms' length just so there was no perception that there is a conflict of interest.

Mr. Quinn stated I took the same position since both of us were on the committee and then were elected to this Board.

Mr. Crow stated my suggestion is this Board keep that same kind of distance at this point and let them go through their information-gathering phase.

Mr. Moyer stated I have met with that group previously and if they call and want me to meet with them, I presume it is acceptable to this Board that I can meet with them.

Mr. Crow stated I strongly feel you should meet with them if invited. You are precisely the kind of people this group needs to meet with. I encourage you to do that and I appreciate you taking the time to meet with them.

Mr. Quinn stated I have lost track of the number of meeting minutes that we are behind on approving. It is at least three meetings.

Ms. Miller stated there are five meetings.

Mr. Quinn stated we do need to revisit the proposal from people who submitted bids for web development services in order to make a determination of what we are going to do with that. We had a deadline and they met the deadline, but we have not done anything with them

since. A lot of other things were added to the agenda, but we need to address those. I would like to have that completed before the end of July.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Katz, seconded by Ms. James, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at 5:45 p.m.

Steve Katz, Secretary

Richard Quinn, Chairman