

# MINUTES OF MEETING

## CELEBRATION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Celebration Community Development District was held Tuesday, November 8, 2011, at 6:30 p.m. at the Celebration Community Center, 851 Celebration Avenue, Celebration, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Tom Sunnarborg	Chairman
Lee Moore ( <i>by phone</i> )	Vice Chairman
Floyd McCollum	Treasurer
Cliff Akey	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Gary Moyer	Manager: Moyer Management Group
Colt Little	Attorney: Latham, Shuker, Eden & Beaudine
Mark Vincutonis	Engineer: Hanson Walter & Associates
Joe Craig	American Ecosystems
Jim Parker	The Celebration Company
Brian Smith	Severn Trent Services
Residents and Members of the Public	

*This is not a certified or verbatim transcript but rather represents the context and summary of the meeting.*

### FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### Call to Order

Mr. Sunnarborg called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

### SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### Roll Call

Mr. Moyer called the roll and stated a quorum was present for the meeting.

### THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Sunnarborg led the *Pledge of Allegiance*.

### FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### Administrative Matters and Announcements

Mr. Sunnarborg stated for those who are new to our community or watching our meeting for the first time, please note that the Celebration CDD (“CCDD”) is a local unit of special-purpose government created pursuant to Chapter 190, Florida Statutes. That means that we are a real, elected public government, just a special-purpose government, limited by what the law expressly allows us to do, as opposed to general-purpose governments such as Cities, Counties and States, that can do as they choose except for what is prohibited by law. We are not the Enterprise CDD, which operates the water

utility company and is responsible for the infrastructure at Celebration Place, Water Tower Place, and west of I-4. We are also not the residential (CROA) or non-residential (CNOA) property owners association. Our primary responsibilities include the financing and construction of the community's streets and alleys, street and alley lighting, stormwater management systems, walking trails, sidewalks, boardwalks, and some of the passive parks, as well as the maintenance of those same facilities, including the street trees, stormwater quality, and aquatic weed control. We are also responsible for the enhancement of some Osceola County services, such as mosquito control. Please also note that you can retrieve much more information about the CCDD, who we are, what we do, which physical areas we are responsible for versus other entities, how to get in contact with us, and access our public records on our website, [www.CelebrationCDD.org](http://www.CelebrationCDD.org).

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I have a few announcements. One, please be careful not to over fertilize or overwater your landscape. Know that there is already plenty of phosphate and nitrogen in the reclaimed irrigation water. Two, please do not wash your landscape clippings down the storm drains or allow your contractors to do so, as that additional organic matter is a primary contributor to our water quality management challenges. Three, please remember that it is not acceptable to put up signs in our CCDD right-of-way. Our staff is authorized to remove signs placed in our right-of-way without our permission. Four, please remember that the speed limit in Celebration is 25 mph unless posted otherwise, and our stop signs really do mean stop.

**FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Approval of the Meeting Agenda**

Mr. Sunnarborg reviewed the agenda and requested any changes.

Mr. Akey stated I would like to reorder the five action items so that first is the use application from ZE Tours, second is the use application from Evolve Bicycles, third is the use application from UPS, fourth is the acquisition of traffic calming devices, and fifth is the proposal from Cardinali Painting and Wallcovering.

**SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Public Comment Period**

There being none, the next order of business followed.

**SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consent Agenda**

**A. Minutes of the September 20, 2011, Regular Meeting**

**B. Invoices and Check Register**

Mr. Sunnarborg reviewed the Consent Agenda, and requested any corrections, additions, or deletions to the minutes.

Mr. Little stated page 1 of the minutes should indicate that Ms. Jan Carpenter attended the meeting.

On MOTION by Mr. McCollum, seconded by Mr. Akey, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the Consent Agenda, minutes as amended.
---

**EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Action Items for Board Approval**

**A. Use Application Renewal for ZE Tours for Segway Use**

Mr. Moyer stated it has probably been 18 months ago or so when we were requested to permit the use of segways on District sidewalks as part of a tour business. We did that for 90 days to see how it went. We did not have any complaints or problems, so we renewed that permit for a year. That permit is now up again for renewal. We actually exceeded that one-year timeframe, and to Mr. Dale McGowan's credit, he provided us with a use permit for renewal. Rather than approving it administratively, since this meeting was happening in short order, I added it to the agenda for the Board's consideration. I have not been aware or have heard of any problems resulting from this company's tours.

Mr. McCollum made a MOTION to approve the use application from ZE Tours for segway use for one year. Mr. Akey seconded the motion.
---

Mr. Akey asked does this have the same condition, that if we do experience any issues, we can cancel this permit at will?

Mr. Moyer stated yes.

Mr. Akey stated I reviewed the communication log and did not see anything related to the segways. The question that will come up is how many of these will start going on the sidewalks? If we have too many groups, it may become a little cumbersome.

Mr. Moyer stated we can address that with the next application request, because there are different restrictions for different types of vehicles.

Mr. McCollum stated I received one call that they were going the wrong way down a one-way street. I told the resident that was probably an issue for the sheriff. It is something to be aware of. They were on the street, not the sidewalk.

Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the use application from ZE Tours for segway use for one year.

**B. Use Application from Evolve Bicycles**

Mr. Moyer stated this is a similar request, and what they are requesting to use are roads and bike paths throughout Celebration. I asked Mr. Little to look at the use of sidewalks. I did not address bike paths, because I am not sure what that means, but in terms of using sidewalks, there is a section in Chapter 316, Florida Statutes, that indicates bicycles, notably powered bicycles, cannot be used on sidewalks. If the applicant's intent is to use sidewalks, then we would not be able to grant this use application for that purpose.

Mr. Little stated the distinction is that vehicles that are powered only by humans are allowed on sidewalks, except there is a specific section that expressly allows segways. A motorized bicycle is not allowed on the sidewalk, whereas a normal standard bicycle would be.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked if we were so inclined, could we condition this to exclude sidewalks and differentiate between trails and sidewalks? Or should we define a sidewalk as something that is immediately in front of someone's home?

Mr. Little stated assuming there are areas where you do want to allow the use of these bicycles, we may need the applicant to provide clarification on what he means by bike path. If we can narrow it down, I think we can describe the area in some way.

Mr. Moyer stated sidewalk is actually a defined term in Chapter 316, Florida Statutes, which is the area between the asphalt and the adjoining property. By excluding sidewalks and referencing that portion of Chapter 316, Florida Statutes, I think you are covered.

Mr. Moore stated I would like to approach this the same way we did the first segway group, which is to permit it for 90 days and discuss it further at that time.

Mr. Moore made a MOTION to approve the use application from Evolve Bicycles for motorized bicycle use on bike paths.  
Mr. Sunnarborg seconded the motion.

Mr. Brian Brown stated I am a principal of Evolve Bicycles. We are located in Water Tower Place. I submitted the application to have tours around Celebration, leaving from

my shop. It was my understanding that an electric bicycle, if it has pedals and if it goes under 20 mph and is under 100 pounds that it is considered in the same category as a standard bicycle. Mr. Moyer mentioned a Statute that I am not familiar with, so perhaps it is not considered a bicycle or it may have something to do with electric power versus gasoline power.

Mr. Little stated they group all vehicles together. They do not define bicycles as to pedals or motors or anything of that nature. Section 316.1995, Florida Statutes, simply says “except as provided in Section 316.008 or 316.212(8), a person may not drive any vehicle other than by human power upon a bicycle path, sidewalk, or sidewalk area, except upon a permanent or duly authorized temporary driveway.” Essentially they are talking about excluding any vehicles from being on sidewalks that have any type of drive system other than human motion. There is a specific provision that allows for segways, in spite of this provision. Segways are categorized by a very narrow definition. The Statutes do not discuss what defines a bicycle and what does not. They simply refer to any vehicle other than by human power cannot be driven in these areas.

Mr. Brown stated these are driven by human power, and I have information on bicycles that we will potentially use for these tours. You actually do pedal the bicycle, but a motor kicks in and assists you with your pedaling. To address your question of bike paths, our intention is to provide the tours in as safe a manner as possible and staying off the roadways as much as we can. Depending on how sidewalk is defined, our intention is to go down Celebration Place by the hospital. I do not know if you consider that a sidewalk or a bike path or some combination thereof. We will then come down Campus Street to the back side of the downtown lake, down to Eastlawn, to the boardwalk that ends at the high school, and up Celebration Boulevard back to Water Tower Place.

Mr. Akey stated I will not support electric vehicles on any boardwalks. They are extremely slippery. We have many young children on those boardwalks. Can these go 10 mph or 15 mph?

Mr. Brown stated we can limit it to whatever speed you designate, but they can go up to 20 mph.

Mr. Akey stated I would not support these on our boardwalks. They have curves and angles. I would not be comfortable with that.

Mr. Brown stated this is a proposed route, so we can change that. I am not sure how the segways get around in the community, but we want to do something similar to the segway tour.

Mr. McCollum asked is there any issue with these being on the roadways? We had some issues with electric scooters and there was a serious injury, which caused us to start prohibiting that use. I agree with Mr. Akey about the boardwalks, but that scooter injury was a bad situation on the sidewalks. If it is a County road, that would not be in our jurisdiction to consider.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I like the idea of a 90-day trial period to see how it goes. Before the application can be approved, I think the applicant and staff need to meet and work out a route that would be acceptable and would not include some of the things Mr. Akey is concerned about, that utilize the trails rather than the sidewalks. If that is acceptable, I suggest giving Mr. Moyer authority to sign a trial permit so that it does not need to be brought back to the Board, or we can wait for all those details to be worked out and the Board can consider it next month.

Mr. Akey stated my preference is that it should be crystal clear. For me to support it, I want to see the map with the application.

Mr. Moore stated I agree. The trails are also an issue for me. They are difficult enough when you are jogging or walking and a bicycle needs to pass or two bicycles pass in opposite directions. I think a group of people on bicycles will be problematic. I agree that the route needs to be more defined before I will support it.

Mr. Moore withdrew the previous motion. Mr. Sunnarborg withdrew the second.
--

Mr. Moore stated I would like staff to meet with Mr. Brown and for them to plan out a more defined route. I do not believe that will need a motion. Mr. Moyer can put this on the agenda for next month's meeting on the Consent Agenda. If we do not agree with the route, we can remove it from the Consent Agenda and consider it separately.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I agree with you and I see Mr. Akey also nodding his head. We have consensus for staff to proceed in that direction and there is no need for a motion. We will include this in the Action Items for next month's agenda.

Mr. Brown stated I am available to meet at your convenience. Would Mr. Smith or Mr. Moyer have the route that ZE Tours uses for the segways?

Mr. Moyer stated yes.

**C. Use Application from UPS for Parking Spaces at Stetson University**

Mr. Moyer stated last year, UPS stationed a facility in the Stetson parking lot to disperse packages during the holiday season. At that time, the Board had not considered issuing the permit, but the question was more of what they were doing. This year, they did file for that permit, which is included in the agenda package.

Mr. Sunnarborg made a MOTION to deny the use application from UPS for parking spaces at Stetson University.

Mr. Akey seconded the motion.

Mr. Sam Tortorice stated I have been with UPS for 28 years and I am currently in charge of all drivers, helpers and operations in Kissimmee, St. Cloud, Celebration and Poinciana. The reason we use PODS at the Christmas season is threefold: safety, service and performance. From a safety aspect, we believe that having golf carts within the neighborhoods during the daytime is much safer than having a package being delivered at night. Otherwise, the drivers make their business stops in the morning and afternoon, and then at night they will deliver them to the residences. Another safety aspect is that the vehicles do make noise. During Christmas, we add more vehicles, which are either rental vehicles or older vehicles that are louder than the regular vehicles, and we do not want to cause noise problems in the neighborhoods. Regarding service, the golf carts will only be used during the daytime. The helpers that we use will be delivering packages that will be stored in PODS and delivered to residences during the day instead of at night. That makes the service aspect a little better. I personally train the helpers and the drivers. Their professionalism will be the same as our regular delivery drivers. They will be dressed just like a UPS driver and act in the same manner. We will be burning about five gallons of fuel a week per golf cart, versus about five gallons in an eight-hour period for our regular delivery vehicles. The fuel economy is great for us. We used a PODS unit the past two years, and we received a good response from the neighborhoods that we have delivered in. We are requesting your approval of this request. You may not have approved our application last year, but we were under the impression that Stetson University owns their own parking lot and can allow our PODS unit there.

Mr. Akey stated I heard Mr. Tortorice's comments about safety. It does get dark earlier now by 5:30 and 5:45. That is a much larger truck going through the community versus a golf cart. I see that as one benefit to this request.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I do not want to say as the Chairman that I think we should just deny this. Let me give you my reasons. I appreciate Mr. Tortorice making the request this year, and I understand what happened last year. I have nothing against UPS or this idea, and I appreciate the objectives of safety, service and performance as well as the fuel savings. All of those things are terrific. My problem is that, as an elected Supervisor of a public government, this application is trying to accomplish the occupation of public space to the exclusion of the public that owns it. They are parking spaces that are in high demand during the holiday season. We do not allow anything that becomes semi-permanent, even though it is a few months. We would not let someone occupy a park or a trail or parking spaces for an extended period of time. These are the public's parking spaces, and UPS is a private company who is benefiting from using public land for free. I have a problem with that. This is essentially a mini-warehouse, albeit temporary, that would not be allowed by any reasonable zoning. This Board does not have any zoning authority, but it is out of place, and that bothers me. This is beside the point of this application, but the way the packages are put onto golf carts with trailers, we do not want to encourage unlicensed vehicles running around our town. That is how it was last year, and I do not know your plan for this year. Everything except for maintenance vehicles or vehicles that are within some entity's boundary, such as the downtown, as long as they stay on their property, they can get away with not being a licensed vehicle. All of our NEVs must be licensed and insured and must have seatbelts and other required things. To have utility carts without licenses running around town encourages others to bring their golf carts into town, which we cannot have.

Mr. McCollum stated Mr. Sunnarborg made more points than I thought of, but I first thought of the fact that this is a private enterprise and it should not be on public lands. I have an issue with that. The one major benefit that I kept coming back to, although I do not think it overrides the negatives, is this is a new urban town and it is great. The idea of using smaller vehicles for the safety aspects and less impact on the community is great. The only solution I was trying to come up with is if there is another location where you can do this other than CDD property. As this is requested now, I would also vote no, but I

would really like to be able to see you do it somewhere. I never thought about the golf carts not being licensed, but I think the intent is perfect for our town.

Mr. Tortorice asked is there any private property available that we could consider?

Mr. Akey asked even if there is private property available, would you still have an issue with your vehicles on the roads with Osceola County.

Mr. Tortorice stated not as long as the vehicles are going less than 25 mph. That was one of the reasons we chose Celebration. We are also doing this in Solivita, and Avatar has already approved our application for Solivita and Bellalago. All those communities have speed limits under 25 mph, and we do not allow the carts to travel on roads with speed limits over 25 mph.

Mr. Akey stated they are all excellent points. I noticed the application is dated September 22, 2011, so I am surprised it did not make the October agenda so as to allow him more time to react to our decision.

Mr. Moyer stated this is the first meeting we have had since the application was received. The October meeting was a workshop, and you would not have been able to take any action on this.

Mr. Akey stated we could have at least discussed it there. The safety aspect is one thing, looking at everything. At this stage, it is too late to come up with alternatives as far as other property. You might want to consider perhaps the hospital.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated there is the storage facility on Celebration Place.

Mr. Moore stated I do not have an issue with a private company using public land because I think this works for the community. The bigger problem I have is taking up parking space. If it was anything else, it would not be an issue, but it is taking up parking space. I would vote yes on this if you asked me right now, and I like the safety aspect. If you look at our landscape company, their vehicles are not licensed and they are all over town. That is not a huge issue for me. I think there might be a better place for this. I think UPS should contact the home owners association because Lakeside Park is probably the best place for it where they usually put the horse trailer. It is really to service the home owners more than anyone else. It will not take up any space in that location, which is really the issue for me using Stetson.

Mr. Akey stated Lakeside Park is an excellent option. Last year they held the Halloween event there, and we blocked off the sidewalk for that event. Perhaps that is a better option.

Mr. Tortorice stated we contacted the Georgetown Board and they have given us preliminary approval based on your approval as an additional site.

On VOICE VOTE, with all in favor except Mr. Moore, approval was given to deny the use application from UPS for parking spaces at Stetson University.
--

Mr. Sunnarborg stated we can continue to give you ideas and try to help, but for now, our answer is no for this specific use application.

Mr. Tortorice asked what about other private properties?

Mr. Sunnarborg stated it is at the discretion of those private property owners.

**D. Acquisition of Radar Speed Signs (Traffic Calming Devices)**

Mr. Akey stated at our workshop, I indicated I would meet with Ms. Pat Wasson and review these with her, which I did. Mr. Smith said he would be willing to place and install these signs. She will provide the locations. We do not have a policy and procedure book for these, but we will need to have something that documents we will put these in a location for 30 to 45 days. I ask Ms. Wasson to monitor that with Mr. Smith. I do not want to see these installed on a street and stay there for six months. The other item I asked Ms. Wasson was if we put these in a location, she will coordinate with the sheriff's department and alert Captain Andy Lang, who coordinates with the sheriff's department, to let him know where we have located these on streets where we have received complaints about speeding. She will also ask them to provide some enforcement where we install these. Based on that discussion, Mr. Smith will install them on the poles, which is easier than the other four we have. They just strap on and it will not add a huge amount of work for Mr. Smith and his staff.

Mr. Smith stated that is correct.

Mr. Akey stated Ms. Wasson will work with Mr. Smith. She will setup a list for the beginning of the calendar year with several locations, and Mr. Smith will rotate them around. After talking with Ms. Wasson, my recommendation is to purchase two of these devices. The quote is for three.

Mr. Smith stated the price will be similar per unit, about \$3,200 each.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked is there money in our budget somewhere for this purchase?

Mr. Moyer stated yes.

On MOTION by Mr. Akey, seconded by Mr. McCollum, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to purchase two power radar signs from Radarsign in the amount of \$3,328 each, with the placement to be coordinated by Mr. Smith and Ms. Wasson, as discussed.

**E. Proposal from Cardinali Painting & Wallcovering for Painting Tall Poles along Celebration Avenue and Campus Street**

Mr. Smith stated this is for the painting of the fixtures on Celebration Avenue through town from North Village down to Artisan Park to the very back of Artisan Park, and on Campus Street from S.R. 417 down to Celebration Avenue. That will complete all our tall poles throughout Celebration. This is the same unit price they have provided for all the poles they have painted, \$70 per pole.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked was this work bid out previously?

Mr. Smith stated yes.

On MOTION by Mr. Sunnarborg, seconded by Mr. Akey, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the proposal from Cardinali Painting & Wallcovering for painting the tall poles on Celebration Avenue and Campus Street, in the price of \$70 per pole, as discussed.

**NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Staff Reports**

**A. District Manager**

**i. Financial Statements**

Mr. Moyer reviewed the financial statements, which are included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

Mr. Moyer stated September 30 was the close of our fiscal year. We were very close to our budget numbers for revenues, and we were well under budget on the expenses, so we will be adding funds to our fund balance for various projects that the Board desires to undertake, such as the maintenance facility, without having to increase our assessments to the residents.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated that is fantastic, thank you.

Mr. Moyer stated thanks to Mr. Smith and everyone else on staff. We work very hard to do our work within budget.

**ii. Website Statistics**

Mr. Moyer reviewed the website statistics, which are included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

**iii. FEMA Update**

Mr. Akey stated we made an application to FEMA some time ago to reimburse us for standing up trees in 2004 of approximately \$986,000. I also want to thank Mr. Jeb Gerhart, who joined Mr. Moyer and me at Congressman Webster's office last week. We went to the Congressman and said we have done everything we can possibly think of and given FEMA everything they have asked for. We asked him to take the lead to accelerate our application. They contacted FEMA, and the recent communication from FEMA is that they have denied our application. The good news is, they opened the window for an appeal, whereas before we did not even have the appeal option. Now we have the appeal option, that we will definitely appeal, and we will go back to Congressman Webster as well as our Representative Steve Precourt. This also goes through the State of Florida. I will meet with Representative Precourt's office to ask him to provide whatever support he can to get us reimbursed. Our position is we took the responsible path for these trees by uprighting them. We did not destroy them. Instead of asking for \$3.5 million to remove and replace them, we are asking for just under \$1 million. We will make one more effort to get our money for that work. If the appeal is denied, then we are probably done.

Mr. Moyer stated in 2004, we did file for FEMA reimbursement timely in the amount of \$1.2 million. We received about \$200,000 for the disposal of trees, which they said we could do. They also said they would pay for uprighting the trees, and then they changed their minds and said they would not. A variety of other Districts filed an appeal as did we. Somewhere along the line, that appeal was lost. One of the things FEMA has been struggling with is that they want to help us but they do not know how to do that because they cannot find our appeal. It is well past the time when they will permit an appeal. Actually, a denial is good news in terms of moving forward, because now they will allow an appeal of that denial and they will run it through the process.

Mr. Akey stated I will be talking with Congressman Webster's office again, and I will contact Representative Precourt's office to include him. If he agrees with us, then I think he can also be helpful to us. We have gone this far, we might as well file the appeal and see where it goes.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated the Board and the community thank Mr. Akey for all your work on this. Will you need any other consulting or legal assistance? Is there more to do beyond these meetings?

Mr. Akey stated I think it is just these meetings. This is the last effort for this reimbursement.

**B. Field Operations**

**i. Monthly Highlight Report**

Mr. Smith reviewed the field highlight report, which is included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

**ii. Communication and Complaint Log**

Mr. Smith reviewed the communication log, which is included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

**iii. Tree Report from Mr. Tom MacCubbin**

Mr. Sunnarborg stated this report includes some good things, but I did not have a chance to review it thoroughly. Are you generally in concurrence with his recommendations?

Mr. Smith stated yes.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked then we will presume that you will follow up on all these things?

Mr. Smith stated yes. It was very beneficial having him here with his extra set of eyes reviewing what is going on in the field. I agree that his report is very good.

Mr. McCollum asked is he willing to come out on a quarterly basis to perform these reviews?

Mr. Smith stated I think it would be very beneficial to have him here on a quarterly basis to have a brief meeting and then do a drive through. He reinforces a lot of things that we are doing, as well as some good ideas of what people are doing in other locations.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked is there money budgeted for the tree replacements that he suggested? Will we be seeing proposals for that work?

Mr. Smith stated most of the tree recommendations he made have already been planted.

**iv. Speed Radar Sign**

Mr. Smith stated Mr. Akey requested that I look at the speed radar sign right out here. I found that it is not operating properly as we would like it to because it is on a bend. It

will catch the first car as well as the second car, so it will jump to a different number. Those are designed for straightaways. Being on that curve is causing it to react strangely, although it works well there, particularly if you have one car coming down the road. If they are traveling over the speed limit, it will catch that car because there is no one else in front or behind. If there are several cars in a line that are traveling around 25 mph, it will catch different speed limits.

Mr. Akey stated I went out Sunday for about 50 minutes to observe that sign. You can go through it and it will record a constant 19 and even though some cars are traveling faster, it does not pick it up. Mr. Joe Bitar from Osceola County called me today, and I asked him to call Mr. Smith. He suggested that Mr. Smith meet with his staff to look at the sign and possibly move it a little bit and look at some options. His point and mine is that if you do not get it in a consistent operational manner, it is useless having it there.

Mr. Smith stated Mr. Bitar was doing a review of those signs as well, and I talked with him briefly. It was good to hear that on two of our signs that the County purchased, they have the City of Kissimmee traffic signal maintenance staff coming out every three months to perform maintenance on the two signs the County purchased. We will configure out signs, which we have also been doing to their signs. That includes setting them at 15 mph to start to read speeds, 25 mph is the speed limit, and at 40 mph, it flashes dots. That is how all the signs are configured.

Mr. Akey stated that is part of the approval we had for the two signs they purchased of the four we now have. Will the two the County purchased remain where they are? We might want to think about the County maintaining all four of them. The other thing the County can do is go to all those signs and download the information and give us traffic patterns of what the speeds have been through those signs. If they are rather excessive or above the averages, then they can go to Sheriff Hansell and report what they are finding with the traffic signs. They do that for other signs in the County.

Mr. Smith stated Mr. Bitar has not been able to download all that information. We are working on that, as well. We are getting numbers per day and totals over a period of time. We are not really getting the speed limits or an average speed limit. We need to look at the program a little more to see what we can actually glean from that. We will continue to work with Mr. Bitar. It would be great if he would take over the maintenance of the other

two signs. If the Board is agreeable to that, we can draft a letter and send it to him asking him to take over the maintenance.

**v. East Village Trees**

Mr. Akey stated some residents came to our meeting a few months ago regarding their trees in East Village.

Mr. Smith stated those have been replaced.

**vi. Crosswalks**

Mr. Akey stated we discussed various crosswalks in the community. The County had done quite a few of them. Everything the County does is generated by work orders. I asked for that information, and they did a lot of work but not all of it was for crosswalks. They are going to print the work orders that they have done for the County this year, whether it has been a pothole or whatever, and send them to me. We can get a head start on next year. The crosswalks at Sycamore Street and Celebration Avenue have not been painted in quite a while.

Mr. Smith stated they did all of them around downtown. Originally they did the ones by the school and I asked them to do more, which was over a four-week period of time. They did all the ones downtown, including the ones by Sycamore Street at the church at those intersections. They do not last long because there is a lot of traffic. My staff did all the crosswalks in the alleys leading to the school and other crosswalks around the school. The County said they will come back in six months to move out from downtown and do others in the community.

Mr. Akey stated when you come out of Artisan Park, the white lines are pretty well worn off. I will get the County's work orders, and perhaps in January we give them another list and see if they can get more done.

**vii. Street Lights**

Mr. Akey stated at the corner of Campus Street and Celebration Boulevard at the entrance to the compound, there are two tall poles. One has red tape and the other does not, but they are both out and have been for a rather long time. On Celebration Place by the fire station, there are four or five poles in a row that have red tape. Last year we had a problem with the tall poles.

Mr. Smith stated that is correct; there were some circuit problems, and we have another circuit up there. Mr. Jeb Stuart will be doing an outage report tonight. He was having trouble with the lift because he uses it so much on the tall poles. It will take

several weeks to have repaired. That is generally why you will see a tall pole out for a period of time.

Mr. Akey stated the tall pole in front of SunTrust Bank is also out. The ones by the compound are not as big of a deal, but the ones downtown are more critical because of the amount of traffic, especially with the holidays coming.

**viii. Miscellaneous**

Mr. Akey stated I see a lot of orange paint for the sidewalk grinding, so that is ongoing.

Mr. Akey stated typically after Halloween we have done some community cleanup, so it is a good time to look under the bridges, such as at Lake Evalyn.

Mr. Smith stated there is a lot of trash out there.

Mr. Akey stated we should do this community wide perhaps twice a year. Perhaps the weekend staff can have an extra person since it is a good idea to have that done.

Mr. Akey asked are you on schedule for painting of the other light poles, specifically the painting but we skipped the signs?

Mr. Smith stated we are behind schedule. I will provide a revised schedule for the next meeting. Once we started painting everything downtown, we discovered more things that needed to be done. We are re-oiling all the teak benches, which is fairly time consuming. They are also painting all the trash cans downtown. I put the painting staff back downtown because they were getting ahead of themselves getting the signs painted in Celebration Village. I think we will get to South Village in the next month or two, right after the holidays. We should be mostly through South Village by April.

Mr. Akey stated if it was going to extend beyond April, then I would look at options. When we talked about painting the poles, there is always something to paint. I am sure the fire hydrants are on your list. Will you paint those, too?

Mr. Smith stated yes.

Mr. Akey stated I will ask you to take a second look at some of the alley poles and some of the taller light poles. The ones in Arbor Circle have been painted, but the rust spots are fairly dirty.

Mr. Smith stated we just cleaned two on Arbor Circle at the corner. They get mold on them because of the trees. Anytime they go to fix one of those poles, if they can clean it at that time, they will do it; otherwise, they will put it on the cleaning list. Once there is a

list put together, it takes two or three days to clean the poles. A lot of them have the black mold on them.

Mr. Akey stated you will always be busy and behind. We did hire some extra resources. If we need to subcontract something, bring it to the Board. If we have some reserve funds, perhaps we outsource some of this work to get a head start on it.

Mr. Smith stated that is what we are doing with painting the tall poles.

Mr. Akey stated the boardwalk in East Village has had boards replaced a few times, but I think when we get to the point when the boards start to warp, it is a good time to look at replacing that whole boardwalk. You put other boards on top, but when the boards start to bend, it is close to the whole thing going. You already replaced one boardwalk, but in three months, there will be another one since it is getting beat up quite a bit.

Mr. Smith stated when my staff does outages and comes across a board like that, he will replace it. He is on top of replacing them fairly regularly. The person who does all of our carpentry work does a very good job at it, and we both agree that we are getting close to the time of having to replace these. We put it on our improvement list as something we need to do. After the first of the year, I will bring proposals, and the first one will be for the boardwalk and floating dock downtown because they get a lot of use. There will be two proposals, one for synthetic wood and one for pressure-treated wood.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I just built three golf cart bridges in the last couple weeks, and we upgraded from #2 to #1 lumber. The premium price for the upgrade was almost zero.

Mr. Smith stated we use #1 pressure-treated lumber. For downtown, the architectural review committee agrees that it would be a good idea to go to the synthetic material.

Mr. Akey stated bring us an update next month on painting the electrical boxes with Progress Energy. Also take a second look at the poles in the alleys and give us an update. For the electrical boxes, I do not care as much about the ones along the alleys, but the ones in the roadway, especially along Spring Park, see what the plan is. If we need to meet with Progress Energy, let us get them out here.

Mr. Smith stated I have talked with them about painting the electrical boxes, and they are not going to be painting them anytime soon. They will also not give any authorization to paint them. They are saying that if they can still read the numbers on the boxes, they do not care if they are painted or not. We did paint a few on Celebration Avenue. If they are really bad, we will paint them.

Mr. Akey stated Celebration Boulevard and North Village look very nice.

**C. Major Contractors – American Ecosystems**

Mr. Craig stated the last couple months have been pretty active as far as suspended or platonic algae. We had everything under control and looking really good, but it was extremely active with all the rain starting and stopping and the ponds settling. Everything is under control now and looks really good. We are going into a drier time of the year.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked how are the usual problem areas, like North Village?

Mr. Smith stated we did get another high reading on the phosphate. I talked with Mr. Eddie Snell, and we put flock logs in there. We are looking at another product that is natural that really helps reduce phosphate. Hopefully we can see what that chemical will cost instead of these flock logs. It is better than it has been in the past, but we are trying to get it perfect. We did have a really bad suspended algae bloom in Town Center Lake that was a blue-green algae. We put about 4,000 gallons of alum, which cleared it up. We had another bad one in the pond on the right side of the road going to Artisan Park just before you get to Roseville Corner. It gets a really bad odor when it is stagnant, so we had residents in the area concerned about the odor. We put about 1,500 gallons of alum into that pond, which helped. We have the ability to store up to 6,000 gallons of alum and can pump it into small tanks to spray wherever we need to. We also have the injection system in the Town Center Lake where we just turn it on, so that clears up that lake pretty well. We do get some algae blooms on a normal basis, which is not unusual this time of year. Mr. Craig has been good about staying on top of it, and I call him fairly regularly.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked can you identify where particular nutrients are coming from or any sources?

Mr. Craig stated fertilizer is the major issue with over fertilization. We have been telling residents to stay about 20 feet or so from the pond edges, because you will get runoff from irrigation and from rain, which goes straight into the pond. As Mr. Sunnarborg mentioned at the beginning of the meeting, grass clippings get dumped into the storm drains which ends up in the ponds. The nutrients have to go somewhere, so they go into the ponds and cause algae blooms. Those are the major two issues.

Mr. Smith stated this is the time of year when a lot of people fertilize, from late September to mid November. That is one of the reasons we are having all these algae blooms.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked does the landscape contractor fertilize District property?

Mr. Smith stated yes, they use a liquid fertilizer on the lake banks and granular fertilizer away from the lake banks.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked are they doing it in such a way that it is not compounding our problems?

Mr. Smith stated yes, as much as they can. When they blow grass clippings, you do not want them blowing it down the sidewalks to a curb inlet or from the road into a curb inlet. They are trying to get it back into the grassy areas. If you fertilizer one day and it rains the next, it will wash into the drains and into the ponds. That is what the ponds are intended to do, to take that kind of chemical to the pond. But where it gets to the outfall is where we become concerned, and we are testing that water before it goes out into the wetlands. I am working with Mr. Snell from RCID on a regular basis and we are in pretty good shape as far as how we are impacting the canals and the wetlands.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked is there anything we can do for Mr. Craig?

Mr. Craig stated no, everything is good and we have everything we need. Mr. Smith helps us out.

**D. Attorney**

Mr. Little stated we received a call from Mr. Ory Schiesel from Carlyle who requested our assistance related to some parking issues. I was able to speak with him a couple times and email him. He was working to provide me all the documents where we thought the easements might be recorded, but he has not had any success so far. We have corresponded several times, and he has had some problems with his management company with respect to providing those documents to me. As soon as I receive them, I will review them.

**E. Engineer**

Mr. Vincutonis stated Mr. Smith and I have issued a change order to Middlesex for repairs on the South Village alleys. We negotiated their price down about \$3,000. It is to do work to repave three alleys between Persimmon and Banks Rose. There is a stretch where we had to lower three or four inlets after Middlesex paved it, lowered them by about three inches to provide better drainage. They provided a price that we are still not happy with. The work they need to do is really such a small job and the price is too high for that work. Mr. Smith and I have talked with them a couple times. Whether or not Middlesex does the work, we thought it might work best to incorporate this work when the next neighborhood alleys are paved.

Mr. Akey stated it sounds like the work did not meet the specifications and did not meet with your approval. What happened after Middlesex paved those particular alleys?

Mr. Vincutonis stated these alleys were really flat, and we identified ponding in these areas.

Mr. Akey asked did we have water accumulation after they paved them?

Mr. Vincutonis stated we had water accumulation before as well as after. We measured the slopes and also met in the field with Mr. Russ Simmons. We surveyed it, and it is one inch of fall over 200 feet of alley length. It is very difficult to get that to drain on such a long, flat slope. That is the reason we lowered those inlets three inches.

Mr. Smith stated over time, we have learned that on these flat lengths of alleys, if we do not lower the drains and create more fall, it is very difficult to get those to drain and avoid getting birdbaths in them. That is why those sections of alley are so flat. We talked with them about this prior to the paving work, and they admitted it will be hard to accomplish without any birdbaths because it is so flat. We were hoping that we would have enough fall off. It was just recently that we started lowering these drain boxes, because that really helps us in all the other locations we have done this. It used to cost \$2,500 per box, and I can now have it done at a lower cost. In the long run, lowering that box makes the paving of that alley a lot better and we do not have the birdbaths.

Mr. Akey asked if we accept their proposal and the scope of work it includes, will that solve the problem?

Mr. Vincutonis stated yes.

Mr. Akey asked are they sure? We will not have this same problem afterwards?

Mr. Smith stated they have three more inches of fall.

Mr. Vincutonis stated they essentially have to redo the entire alley.

Mr. Smith stated but now there will be a crown point, and there will be a lot more fall. If you go that long of a distance, there is only an inch of fall or less, which means we have standing water. We have birdbaths in a lot of areas, but most of them are gone after 24 hours, which is our standard. If it lasts longer than 24 hours, then we have a problem. If it is gone within 24 hours, then we do not consider that a problem. These are right on the cusp of that timeframe.

Mr. McCollum asked have we provided them with a final punch list?

Mr. Smith stated yes. Overall the product is very good. There is one location on Beak Street where we are going to do a little differently because of the situation with cross slope. We will probably put a drain in the center and pipe it to another one.

Mr. Vincutonis stated Mr. Smith and I have driven the next four villages that are on the list to be paved, which includes Charleston Place, Roseville Corner, East Village 1 and East Village 2. Charleston Place is the oldest and was built in 1999. East Village 1 and 2 were built close together in 2002. I have some prices for this work. I think Mr. Smith and I would agree that East Village 1 and 2 are in worse shape, and we would recommend repairing all four areas at one time.

Mr. Akey asked did you drive all the alleys and provide conditions? Do you have any pictures?

Mr. Vincutonis stated I did not take any pictures. There are potholes in various locations and other conditions are present that were in the other alleys that we have paved. I think the intention is to look ahead and for the Board to consider what you want to do and when you want to do it.

Mr. Smith stated we also look at it from a timing perspective. We received a request from the residents in Charleston Place to do those alleys. It is due from a date perspective. East Village 1 and 2 have some locations where the drain boxes are high, and there is some failure in the asphalt around the drain boxes. We might want to do Charleston Place and Roseville Corner to stay on our schedule, and then do some minor repairs in East Village 1 and 2. Then next year we can completely repave East Village 1 and 2. In the meantime, we can do some minor repairs that need to be done to get those to last through the next year. You could also do East Village 1 and 2 first and then the other two next year.

Mr. Akey stated I have reviewed all of these alleys, and I think your recommendation is a good one, to do Charleston Place and Roseville Corner now as well as minor repairs in East Village 1 and 2 and the issue in South Village.

Mr. Moyer stated whether or not we use reserves and do this whole alley paving program at one time and then continue with our assessment level to rebuild the reserves for that purpose, at the end of the day, you have done it the same way, whether you do half now and half next year, or whether you do it all now. At \$300,000, in all likelihood, that is a big enough project that we will probably get better costs than if we did them in

smaller projects at \$100,000. The Board has considered pushing forward as much of the refurbishment of our infrastructure as possible, so you might want to keep that in mind.

Mr. Smith stated Roseville Corner and Charleston Place are fairly small projects.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated given how high a single mobilization fee is, I would be in favor of just doing it all. When would we do that work?

Mr. Smith stated in the spring, as we try to schedule every year when it is dry.

Mr. McCollum stated you could make them alternates, as well. They can provide a base bid for Roseville Corner and Charleston Place, and another one for East Village 1 and 2. Then we can evaluate them all. As Mr. Moyer said, we should be able to get a better price.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated that is a good idea.

Mr. Smith stated we will get prices for that work including alternates, and we will hold off on the repair in South Village until we see who the contractor will be for the next set of alleys.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated that makes good sense.

Mr. Smith stated the other contractor's price will probably be higher than the proposal from Middlesex for the South Village repairs, because Mr. Vincutonis and I worked them down to a place where they are almost at cost for this repair. We would not pay the mobilization for the South Village repair if we include it in this next phase of alley repaving. If Middlesex is not the contractor chosen for the next alley repaving, then we will ask that new contractor for a proposal for the South Village repair. If it is a good price, then they can repair it, but if it is not, then Middlesex can repair it.

Mr. Akey asked if you bid it as one package in January and have them start work in February, will you be done by the end of May with all the alleys?

Mr. Smith stated yes. We finished South Village fairly quickly. We have a good product, and it all depends on the preparation work we do prior to the paving that really gives us the best product.

Mr. Akey stated you will have some curbing work and other things to do, just like you have with the other alley projects. If you bid it as one package, then it could all be done before June 2012?

Mr. Smith stated yes.

**F. The Celebration Company's (TCC) Representative**  
**i. Eastlawn Property**

Mr. Parker stated I wanted to follow up on the workshop from a couple weeks ago. Mr. Akey asked about the Eastlawn property, whether TCC would be willing to donate that to the CDD, and the answer is we would not be willing to donate it. As I mentioned at the workshop, it can be used for wetland mitigation purposes.

Mr. Akey stated I understand, but I am very disappointed.

**ii. CROA-Designated Parcel on the Civic Corridor**

Mr. Parker stated you also asked about the parcel designated for CROA on the civic corridor, as well. We have not finished working with CROA on that. They are considering some other options and alternatives. If that particular piece of property becomes available, we will consider the CDD as a civic component and we will let you know.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked CROA does not currently own it?

Mr. Parker stated no.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I think that is a misperception around town that the closing had already happened with CROA.

Mr. Parker stated no, it has not.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated that parcel is still owned by TCC.

Mr. Parker stated all we are waiting for is to finalize terms with CROA.

**iii. Celebration CDD Property on the Civic Corridor**

Mr. Parker stated at the workshop, we had a discussion about the square footage and the programming for the CDD parcel. I provided to the District office the section of the covenants that provided for the programming for it.

Mr. Smith stated I will provide it to the Board.

Mr. McCollum asked is it 8,500 square feet?

Mr. Smith stated it is 8,000 square feet.

Mr. Parker stated it provides for a two-story structure and provides a height limit for the total height.

**iv. Cell Phone Tower**

Mr. Parker stated we have come to terms on all points in the agreement, and now we just need to put a couple exhibits together and have the agreement executed. Then we should be able to move forward.

**v. Lynx Bus Shelters**

Mr. Parker stated the Lynx bus shelters and sidewalk extension in Enterprise CDD have started. It should be no more than a two-week process to complete that work.

Mr. Akey asked at that time, will you look at all the benches and poles to make sure they are the Celebration green poles, including the Lynx sign poles, the I-4 traffic sign pole? They will all be consistent?

Mr. Parker stated no, Lynx has not agreed to all that. They are providing the shelter and some trash cans. I believe there is a bench attached with the shelter. We talked about the bus stop sign that was there. I spoke with them and it might be a struggle for them to paint them. I think they would find a way to do it. I also talked about this with Mr. Smith, and we thought it would be best to have a standard Celebration pole.

Mr. Akey stated I agree.

Mr. Parker stated their poles are square, so are not the same as the Celebration palette.

Mr. Smith stated we will paint all the poles and we will put their Lynx signs on our poles.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated that will be nice.

Mr. Smith stated there are new I-4 signs out there on the standard DOT galvanized poles, and we just need to paint them since DOT will not do that type of work.

**vi. Century Link Fiber Optic Cable**

Mr. Parker stated we had a pre-construction meeting with Century Link today regarding their project in Enterprise CDD. They are running the fiber optic cable down Celebration Avenue to Celebration Place to the hospital. That process will probably take up to six weeks or so. It is almost all a directional drill situation. They will not have to do any trenching except for where they have to setup for their directional drill.

Mr. Smith stated there will be some sidewalk closings from the hospital all the way up Celebration Place. We told them to stay off of it the best they can, but there will be some sidewalk closures. We will try to get a schedule of that in advance so that we can notify the public who are walking to work or to the hospital.

**vii. Miscellaneous**

Mr. Akey asked when you go down Celebration Place by the fire station and the hospital, are those storage trailers permanent? What is the timetable for those?

Mr. Parker stated that project is wrapping up this month and it is in the punch list stage. There were two major projects going on, and the storage trailers were there for both projects.

Mr. Akey stated thanks for all your information and checking on the Eastlawn property. I am disappointed in the answer, but I appreciate you asking and providing us with the answer.

**G. Celebration Joint Committee (CJC) Representative**

Mr. Akey stated there is a meeting on November 15, 2011, at 4:00 p.m. It has been rescheduled a number of times, and I always open the time on my schedule for this meeting.

**H. Audit Committee**

Mr. Moyer stated Carr Riggs & Ingram has merged with Hoyman Dobson, so at least there is some continuity. Hoyman Dobson is very familiar with this District.

**TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Updates and Discussion Items**

There being none, the next order of business followed.

**ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Other Business and Supervisor Comments**

Mr. Akey stated the road going to the library and the lift station, I asked for an update from Ms. Jacqueline Laracuenta at Osceola County, and she said they will schedule a status meeting shortly. I asked if that meant within the next couple weeks or longer. I am waiting for her to get back with me. Hopefully we can meet with her and see what they are doing on their plans.

Mr. McCollum stated Mr. Vincutonis, Mr. Smith and I got together and came up with a program for the maintenance facility that I asked be distributed to everyone, including notes dealing with the program and assumptions we were making. I also included a sketch to provide a starting point.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked were you able to get it under 8,000 square feet?

Mr. McCollum stated yes, we are at 7,500 square feet without the exterior coverage. This is a very rough concept as far as drainage, and Mr. Vincutonis will provide more input into that, as well. We need approximately one-third an acre for a drainage swale, so some more things need to be worked in. The sketch at least represents the setbacks.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked is there retention required on that parcel? It does not drain into the golf course?

Mr. Vincutonis stated it does drain to the golf course. The CDP calculations and documents that Atkins (formerly PBS&J) had done indicate a storm stub-out services this site, but they made a specific note that onsite water quality needed to be provided on this site before discharging. We will need to do more research, and I want to meet with Atkins to better understand how that works.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated that will take up more ground space.

Mr. Vincutonis I was envisioning a shallow dry swale about two feet deep with an outflow structure that will tie into the small pipe that is there, and that can also be landscaped.

Mr. McCollum stated that could also be part of our buffer area if necessary.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked are you asking the Board members review this information and provide comments?

Mr. McCollum stated yes.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked will there be anything to act on next month?

Mr. McCollum stated perhaps. I think this is still for discussion at this point. I think we are fairly good on the programming unless the Board members see anything that is missing. Mr. Moyer is one of the users, so if anyone from staff sees anything, let me know. Mr. Smith has been a great help on this. The plan is very conceptual.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked was this provided to Mr. Moore?

Mr. Moore stated yes.

Mr. Moyer stated the assumption is that the District will maintain a presence in downtown Celebration.

Mr. McCollum stated I listed several notes, and that was one of the notes. I was very specific on what is included versus CROA uses. This is something the Board needs to discuss and decide if the assumptions that Mr. Vincutonis, Mr. Smith and I have made are the direction we want to go in.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated we did address that question at our workshop. For those who disagree with any of the assumptions, please raise them for discussion at our next meeting.

Mr. Akey asked will we have preliminary cost numbers at our meeting next month? I mean total project costs. I know you can consider the various components, but I would

like to see a summary cost analysis. It can have a range of numbers, but I would like a summary analysis on one sheet of paper showing the range that our total costs will be.

Mr. Smith stated we can provide that for the next meeting.

Mr. McCollum stated I spoke with Mr. Mike Nuñez at Lexin, and he is very amenable to meeting with us after the first of the year. He was pretty tied up for the next few weeks due to the busy season. He is looking forward to meeting with us. They are not planning an event for New Year's Eve, so that will not be an issue. Their next large event with fireworks will be the 4<sup>th</sup> of July. He is willing to attend a future workshop or a regular meeting.

Mr. McCollum asked is there an update on the security issue with the sheriff?

Mr. Daniel Tomsick stated nothing is happening, but frankly, we intentionally did not do anything so that we could see how the Halloween experience turned out, to see how much potential opportunity we might have with the sheriff based on the money that was spent for support during Halloween. We want to see what extra coverages could be done for Celebration and still not cost additional money. I think we will ultimately need to have a discussion about how much money we will want to pay for what we want them to do.

Mr. McCollum stated I thought there was supposed to be a plan that was going to be tried over the summer with bicycles.

Mr. Tomsick stated that was before summer, and we are now discussing resuming that effort. That was actually done.

Mr. McCollum stated I did not ever see that program.

Mr. Tomsick stated it was only for one month.

Mr. Moore stated I saw it right after we met with them regarding that program.

Mr. McCollum stated our next meeting is scheduled for December 20, 2011. Did we want to keep that meeting date or move it up a week? I do not know what you typically do with the holidays.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I do not know if we have a typical practice. I have the date on my calendar, and I am planning to be here. Is that date a problem with anyone?

Mr. McCollum stated it works for me.

Mr. Moore stated it is not a problem for me. I intend to be in town for that meeting.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated then let us have the meeting as scheduled.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated the sign on the esplanade that we acquired with the two glass sides that has a map in it, the map is fading and falling. Can we do something better than that?

Mr. Moyer stated I received that same comment a week ago that we need to do something about that sign. We will try to do something with that.

**TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Adjournment**

The next meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, December 20, 2011, at 6:30 p.m.

The meeting adjourned at 7:40 p.m.

---

Bruce Carlson, Secretary

---

Tom Sunnarborg, Chairman