MINUTES OF MEETING
CELEBRATION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Celebration Community Development District was held Tuesday, October 19, 2010, at 6:30 p.m. at 851 Celebration Avenue, Celebration, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

- Cliff Akey Chairman
- Paul Collins Vice Chairman
- Tom Sunnarborg Secretary
- Lee Moore (by phone) Treasurer
- Bruce Carlson Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

- Gary Moyer Manager: Moyer Management Group
- Jan Carpenter Attorney: Latham, Shuker, Eden & Beaudine
- Mark Vincutonis Engineer: Hanson Walter & Associates
- Brenda Burgess Moyer Management Group
- Maria Fuentes Severn Trent Services
- Brian Smith Severn Trent Services

Residents and members of the public

*This is not a certified or verbatim transcript but rather represents the context and summary of the meeting.*

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS Call to Order
Mr. Akey called the meeting to order at 6:35 p.m.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS Roll Call
Mr. Moyer called the roll and stated a quorum was present for the meeting.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS Pledge of Allegiance
Mr. Akey led the *Pledge of Allegiance*.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Approval of the Meeting Agenda
Mr. Akey reviewed the agenda and requested any changes.

There being none, the next order of business followed.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Public Comment Period
There being none, the next order of business followed.
SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consent Agenda

A. Minutes of the September 21, 2010, Regular Meeting and the October 7, 2010, Special Meeting
B. Invoices and Check Register

Mr. Akey reviewed the Consent Agenda, and requested any corrections, additions, or deletions to the minutes.

On MOTION by Mr. Sunnarborg, seconded by Mr. Carlson, with all in favor, the Consent Agenda was approved.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Action Items for Board Approval

A. Consideration of Resolution 2011-01 Regarding the Board’s Findings on the Mosquito Bid Protest

Mr. Moyer stated Ms. Carpenter prepared a Resolution that we circulated to you earlier today. It would be appropriate, within the timeframes identified in our rules of procedure, for the Board to consider this Resolution since we are within the 15-day time limit to do so.

Ms. Carpenter stated the Resolution outlines the process of what happened: the District asked for a request for proposals, bidders submitted proposals, there were two bid protests, the District held an informal proceeding on October 7, 2010, and the Board came to a decision at that point which is memorialized in this Resolution. The Board’s finding at that informal meeting was that there was no finding of violation of the request for proposal process. The Resolution states that after hearing the protests of the two unsuccessful bidders and after reviewing the evidence submitted by the bidders, the Board found that (i) the proposals were properly reviewed, (ii) the Board acted in the best interest of the District in awarding the mosquito control services contract to the winning bidder; and (iii) neither the Board nor the District violated the RFP process in taking the above-described actions, the protests or any other actions relating to this RFP process for mosquito control services. The Resolution summarizes the findings from the minutes of the meetings and from attending the meeting.

On MOTION by Mr. Carlson, seconded by Mr. Sunnarborg, with all in favor, approval was given to Resolution 2011-01 regarding the Board’s findings on the mosquito control bid protest.
Mr. Moyer stated I would like to close an item that the Board had some question on based on some comments that were made at the September meeting related to a conversation that an individual had with Reedy Creek Improvement District (RCID) about our ability to larvicide in the conservation area. What I took from that presentation was that RCID, in fact, did indicate that may be permissible. What the Board directed us to do was to get it in writing, so that we can know what we can do. I emailed this information to the Board but I also want to enter it into the public record. There is a letter dated September 21, 1988, that has served as the basis of our understanding of what we can and cannot do in the conservation area. This letter will also be posted on the website and it basically says that we are not permitted to larvicide in conservation areas. I received a letter from Ms. Kate Kolbo, P.E. at RCID saying “they have reviewed the letter issued by RCID dated September 21, 1998, addressing the application of larvicide within the limits of wetlands owned by or flowage easements granted to the RCID. The restrictions listed in that letter were imposed in perpetuity, and as such continue to be in effect. After having met with you on September 21, 2010, and having reviewed your current mosquito collection data, we are pleased to see that your current application methods are yielding successful control results and remain within the limits imposed by these documents.” They also provided us with a map of the areas that are listed in the 1998 letter. In fact, the limits are the remainder of the Reedy Creek swamp outside of the developable area that we call Celebration. We will make this part of the public record, but I did want the residents to know that, in fact, the restrictions that we felt we had to operate our mosquito program by, in effect, are what we represented to the Board and to the public.

Mr. Smith stated the areas that are untreatable are not just the conservation areas; it is also the flowable conservation area or wetlands. Even our wetlands within the CDD that flow out into the conservation areas cannot be treated. We are only permitted to treat into the isolated wetlands that are not flowing. Arbor Circle is an isolated wetland, but when that stages up from heavy rains, it flows out into the stormwater system, and we cannot treat that wetland during that period of time. Anything that is flowing cannot be treated. We can treat the isolated wetlands, which we were doing, and the buffer areas, which we were doing. This letter confirmed what we all thought was the proper procedure based on the letter from 1998, and Ms. Kolbo essentially said that original letter has not changed.
Mr. Sunnarborg stated thank you for getting that letter. I do not want to belabor or continue this difficult chapter in our history. When I said during our last public meeting that I was having to bite my tongue over some things that were being said, for the record and for the audience, this is what I was talking about. We were told, we have documentation, and now it has been confirmed that we cannot larvicide in the wetlands. That is the law. For the bidders and the residents who thought that we could, we simply cannot. Thank you for clarifying that.

Mr. Akey stated I want to make a comment for the Board to consider or move forward. Dr. Michael Band and Ms. Tracey Prever mentioned having other people review the program and review what we are doing. We also had an offer of assistance from RCID. It might be a good time to reach out to Mr. Michael Page and Ms. Sherry Burroughs to see what that would entail, and bring that back to the Board as a program with their recommendations and let this Board approve it. We essentially do the same thing on the trees with Mr. Tom MacCubbin. I think we might want to do that and set it up as an item for next year but we can start some of the preliminary work with Mr. Page and Ms. Burroughs in the next couple months, if the Board is agreeable to that.

Mr. Smith stated I asked RCID to bid on this mosquito control RFP and they declined because they only do their area, but they are willing to look at our program and our trap counts and review the reports that we are getting from our contractor and provide comments. They do not want to get heavily involved, but they are willing to assist.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I think it is a great idea that we treat it like our financial statements and our trees. Staff can work on this item and present it as something for the Board to act on in a month of two.

Mr. Akey stated I will meet with Mr. Smith and Ms. Fuentes and setup a conference call. We will send a letter to the appropriate parties and set a plan to meet in January or February.

B. Consideration of Aquatic Weed Control Proposals

Mr. Moyer stated this RFP process for aquatic weed control differs from what we just did for mosquito control that the Board needs to be aware of. Mosquito control fell above the threshold for bidding and required that we go through a very structured program to get bids, which we did. Aquatic weed control is below that threshold, which means we have a lot more flexibility in what we do. Mr. Smith struggled in a similar fashion with these responses as he did with mosquito control because they are all over the place. In
terms of awarding a contract and reacting to a situation where a contractor is not performing, we will write the contract in a fashion that allows us to terminate at our will, at any time. We will not have to wait and go through a three- or four-month process. Mr. Smith could simply terminate this contract tonight or tomorrow if the contractor is not performing and hire a new contractor the next day. We are not at risk of being trapped in a process where we have 60, 90 or 120 days where we have to go through a formal bidding process. This is much more flexible. These bids are all over, and if you hire the low bidder and he is the wrong choice, we can do something about that pretty quickly.

Mr. Smith stated there are some technical issues with aquatic weed control because we impact the conservation areas. I sent this RFP to RCID and had them provide comments, which we incorporated before we sent out the RFPs. This was an informal bid. We advertised it, but it is not a formal bidding process. I provided a handout and the packages at last month’s meeting. We received packages from four pretty good contractors. We received references for all of them except for D’Angelo Brothers. However, I did some research myself on them, and they are a fairly large, national company. They specifically do vegetation removal on roadways, railroad tracks, and utility lines. They do have a stormwater division and a small office in St. Cloud, which is the office that provided the bid package. You can see that the quality of bid packages range from not very good to a very high quality. You would think the range of quality of contractors would reflect their bid packages, but that is not necessarily the case. We are looking at four contractors who probably have the ability to do what we want them to do. Do I have concerns about some of them being able to do the work? Yes. The first sheet of the handout shows the savings we would achieve in going with D’Angelo Brothers versus Earth Balance. Earth Balance put together a very nice package, but in reviewing D’Angelo’s package, they seem to understand the work. They priced each pond, but did they do it on a commercial-type maintenance or a residential-type maintenance, which is a much higher aesthetic concern. Those are some of the issues in reviewing these packages. American Ecosystems does provide service for some CDDs.

Mr. Collins asked are those CDDs that are managed by Severn Trent?

Mr. Moyer stated no, two of them I am familiar with are Longleaf and Meadow Pointe, which are in Hillsborough County.

Mr. Smith stated Earth Balance does one of our CDDs, which is Solivita.
Mr. Collins stated one thing that concerned me about American Ecosystems is that their references have only been with them one or two years. Either it is a brand new company or the references are from new customers. That makes me question their quality of service. Why do they not have any long-term customers?

Mr. Smith stated if you look at the bid package under their bid information, they provide when they were incorporated, which is September 3, 1993. These are newer customers, which are CDDs, so that may be why they did not provide any long-term clients. Their annual sales in 2008 were $4 million and in 2009 were $5 million.

Mr. Collins stated this company was the smallest of the bidders. Where is this company based?

Mr. Smith stated St. Petersburg. I believe Applied Aquatic is out of the Tampa area, as well. When we looked at D’Angelo, their numbers were $65 million and $85 million, but at first when we saw they were out of St. Cloud, we thought they were a small operation until we looked them up on the internet. They are a fairly large company. Earth Balance is based out of Kissimmee. Sales for Applied Aquatic for 2008 and 2009 were $14 million and $15 million. They are our current contractor.

Mr. Collins stated my impression of D’Angelo is that they did mostly municipalities larger than Celebration. We want concentrated efforts in our ponds.

Mr. Smith stated in calling their references, the ones we received were not very good. One reference said they had not heard of them and the other two phone numbers we called were disconnected. The last one we called said they are a subcontractor to one of the client’s contractors. We did not get a solid reference for them and we do not know how they are going to perform.

Mr. Collins stated Earth Balance has references from Solivita and Lakewood Ranch, and those are both high-quality communities. They have been at Solivita for 15 years and Lakewood Ranch for 10 years. Based on what I see, they seem to have comparable quality and recommendations that we would have.

Mr. Smith stated from their bid package, I would agree with that. Some of these contractors spent some time and put together a beautiful bid package and we followed up with references. But based on the references and bid packages, Earth Balance is very high quality and looks like a good contractor.
Mr. Carlson stated American Ecosystems saves a fair amount of money over five years. What is your impression when you called their references, even though they were two years old?

Mr. Smith stated their references came in pretty good. We did not receive a response from Longleaf CDD and Meadow Pointe CDD was very pleased with their customer service. The manager himself will come and address issues, and he attends Board meetings. Waterchase said they were very responsive and attempted to educate the residents about over fertilizing and other issues. On the two we called, we received good information, rather than just a standard response that they followed the terms of the contract.

Mr. Collins asked are those high-end communities?

Mr. Moyer stated Meadow Pointe is in the new Tampa area on Bruce B. Downs and it is about 15 years old. The aesthetics are fairly high, not as high as Celebration, but it is a very nice community. Although I do not have direct knowledge of Longleaf, that is a Crosland property, and Crosland does a good job on all of their properties. I would think they are doing a good job for Crosland.

Mr. Collins stated Longleaf might be a more established community and just hired this contractor versus the developer hiring them in the beginning. The ponds have been a source of frustration for me in the four years that I have been on the Board. I do not know how to compare them. I know it is hard to keep the ponds clean and to keep the weeds out of them. Just the other day I was riding my bicycle along Celebration Avenue at the lake and it smelled awful and did not look good, so I called you about it. I know these are not spring-fed lakes but are retention ponds. This community expects a very high level of work, and when I look at these bids, I look at seeing who can maintain the community at the highest level. I do not care what the price is because if they cannot maintain the community, I do not want them as a contractor. I wonder if we are getting as high a quality of product from Applied Aquatic, or can we do better?

Mr. Smith stated when I was talking with Ms. Kolbo about our mosquito control, she asked if we were getting any complaints. I responded that we receive very few complaints, and she said that was my answer because I asked her if our mosquito control program was good. We are all aware that we receive complaints about the ponds and the lakes. Are they working uphill? Yes, because of the water quality issues that we have.
They can use only certain products in the ponds. If we could use copper in the ponds, all of our problems would be gone because aquatic plants are easier to kill with copper. We cannot use copper and we have to use hydrothol 91, which is a product that you have to spray repetitively. The contractor will come out on a regular basis to apply that chemical. When you look at the bids and the lower numbers, you might wonder if they can do that and keep these ponds clean. In the RFP, we are very clear that no copper products are to be used on site at all. Applied Aquatic could do better.

Mr. Collins stated American Ecosystems made a comment in their cover letter that after a visual inspection of the ponds, there is an infestation of torpedo grass, filamentous algae, primrose willow, slender spikerush, duckweed, water lilies, cattails, and pennywort. They indicate that their program will specifically target these weeds, and it seems to me that they are saying we have a lot of problems here and they can do better.

Mr. Smith stated my concern with their statement is that some of the species listed are not in any of our ponds. There are no cattails in our ponds with the exception of Artisan Park at the end of Celebration Avenue at the scrape area. We have very little torpedo grass. There is some in Town Center Lake and some in North Village, but it is minimal. They are marketing their company, so I understand why they listed it.

Mr. Carlson asked in your opinion, can all of these companies perform the job?

Mr. Smith stated I believe they can if we monitor them closely and keep them accountable. The only one I do not have a good feel for is D’Angelo Brothers.

Mr. Carlson stated I eliminated them because when I read their bid, it appears they do commercial properties and they are not going to provide the service even at that price. I would love to see the savings by hiring American Ecosystems and back them up with Earth Balance. If American Ecosystems does not perform, we terminate them and bring in Earth Balance. Earth Balance is at the same price as our current contractor but I would like to see the savings if American Ecosystems can perform.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked price aside, what is it that would differentiate one of these from another?

Mr. Smith stated their responsiveness. The person who is working here needs to care because he will generally be working by himself. He has to check every pond out here every time he comes, and if there is a problem, he has to take the time and effort to get into the pond and treat the pond with the correct product and treat it thoroughly. Then
when he is leaving, he needs to check to make sure he has not left the gate open and that type of thing.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked was there anything you learned in this process by bringing in three new companies? You did not receive any new creativity or a new product or a new state-of-the-art method that we did not know about before?

Mr. Smith stated no. I am very familiar with the aquatic weed situation in Celebration, and I think they can all do the job. The issue will be how much they are going to be willing to get here.

Mr. Moyer stated it is very difficult to get a herbicide permitted today. The agents that are available to use are pretty limited. When I started in this business in the early 1970s, you could use things like diuron, 245TP, 24D and Agent Orange because they were permitted at that time. The nice thing about those agents is that there was a residual that remained in the water for a period of time, so you could actually prevent aquatic plant growth. Those days are gone. The herbicides that are on the market today are designed to target a specific plant, which has to be present in order to go in a treat it. There is very little you can do to prevent aquatic growth. You have to react to it and you have to make the decision at what level do you get in to treat it and manage it.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked who scores highest in the category of responsiveness?

Mr. Smith stated in the bid packages, it appears Earth Balance scored highest. Then I looked at saving $250,000 over the next three years, but are we going to end up spending that in a round about way?

Mr. Collins asked did you get the sense from American Ecosystems that they have a lot of excitement about this or that they really want to do a good job here and have it be a showcase reference for them? Or was it more matter-of-factly submitting their bid?

Mr. Smith stated they were all very professional in presenting their bid and they all expressed a desire to get the contract.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I think Mr. Carlson had a wise idea.

On MOTION by Mr. Carlson, seconded by Mr. Sunnarborg, with all in favor, approval was given to award a contract to American Ecosystems for aquatic weed control, and if they do not perform to the scope of services through the summer months of 2011, then staff is authorized to terminate their contract immediately and award the contract to Earth Balance.
C. Delegation of Leadwalk Extension Approval to CROA
Ms. Carpenter stated we submitted this document to everyone last month and we did not receive any comments from the CDD or from CROA. You can approve this format and perhaps delegate the authority to one Board member to respond to comments, or you can wait until next month and see if we receive any comments.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated Ms. Carpenter made the changes that I was concerned about two months ago. I think the current draft is fine.

Mr. Akey asked is this revocable at any time?

Ms. Carpenter stated yes, it is for a period of six months and it can be revoked.

On MOTION by Mr. Sunnarborg, seconded by Mr. Carlson, with all in favor, approval was given to the delegation of leadwalk extension approvals to CROA.

D. Consideration of “Park Closes at Dusk” Signs for Arbor Park
Mr. Akey stated Mr. Floyd McCollum requested this item. We had a meeting with Captain Lang from the sheriff’s department along with Mr. Smith and Ms. Fuentes, and we came up with an action plan.

Mr. Floyd McCollum stated we met on September 30, 2010, and it was a very productive meeting. Captain Lang mentioned that the information they had given us on previous calls was incorrect and that they should go in if they feel it is necessary, whether or not it is posted. One of the issues we discussed was that the park has no lighting at all and the boardwalk is pitch black. We discussed putting in some lighting similar to the other boardwalks in the community, and Mr. Smith has already started on that work. That will make it safe and will be a big improvement.

Mr. Akey stated let Girard know that if they see any beer cans or excessive trash to notify the District office. That is usually a clear sign that people are congregating in that area.

E. Consideration of Fitness Trail Improvements (Lori Rockel)
Mr. Moyer stated the feedback that I received from the parks and recreation department is that they are going to wait to see what Florida Hospital does on their campus, based on the presentation they made last month.

F. Consideration of Engagement Letter from Berger, Toombs et al. to Perform Arbitrage Rebate Services
Mr. Moyer reviewed the engagement letter from Berger Toombs et al. to perform the arbitrage rebate calculations on the Series 1997, Series 1999 and Series 2005 bonds, in
the amount of $600 per bond issue. When a government agency issues tax-exempt municipal bonds, we sign a contract that says we will monitor the investment earnings that we are making on bond proceeds. If we make more money on investments than we are paying on the bond interest, every five years we will remit that to the Federal Treasury. Up until 1986, when the Tax Reform Act of 1986 was enacted, you could keep that money. There were issuers who made quite a bit of money by doing a pooled bond, where they sold hundreds of millions of dollars and then waited for people to come in, while investing the money in the meantime. In those days, you could earn more than what you paid on your bonds. In today’s environment, this calculation does not make a lot of sense because if we are paying 5% on our bonds and we are earning 1% on our investments, there is no way we are going to make more money than the bond interest rate. At one time, these firms used to charge $1,500 per year and there is now some competition for this service. The proposed amount from Berger Toombs et al. at $600 is currently the market rate for doing this kind of work. This is one item that the Board really has no choice in doing. This is an item included in the general fund budget, and we budgeted sufficient monies for this.

On MOTION by Mr. Sunnarborg, seconded by Mr. Moore, with all in favor, approval was given to the arbitrage letter from Berger Toombs et al. to perform arbitrage rebate services in the amount of $600 per bond issue.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

A. District Manager –  
   i. Financial Statements

   Mr. Moyer reviewed the financial statements, which are included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

   Mr. Moyer stated for all practical purposes, we collected 100% of our assessment revenue. Some of the budget line items are under budget and some are over budget because they were related to capital projects. Rather than spending money from the capital projects fund, we absorbed those costs in the operating budget for this year. Overall we will add about $500,000 to our fund balance, which will be $2.3 million at the end of this year. As the auditor mentioned earlier this year, that is a very healthy fund balance.
ii. Use Application for the Halloween Event

Mr. Moyer stated we received a request from Town Hall to be able to put up signs directing traffic for the Halloween event. I think we all agree that it is badly needed, and I wanted to bring it to your attention before I administratively signed the permit.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated thank you for making that request. A reminder is helpful every now and again on camera that the rights-of-way are not to be used for signs. If anyone ever needs to or wants to, they should submit a request to the District office.

iii. FEMA Reimbursement Appeal

Mr. Moyer stated we have been working for almost two years on an appeal that we filed with FEMA in 2004. I think we will get about $100,000 on that appeal. The Board gave me some latitude in that, and we have probably spent $15,000, but at least we will receive something out of that effort. That money will go into fund balance.

Mr. Akey stated we went into this knowing we will spend $15,000, unsure of the outcome.

Mr. Smith stated we originally spent $1 million and it looks like we will be receiving $100,000. They told us that standing and guying the trees in order to try to save the trees was not something they would reimburse us for. That work was the majority of what we did. In the future, what we have learned is if a hurricane comes through and a lot of these large trees go down, it would be beneficial to remove the trees. Then we will be paid for that debris once it is removed. The reason we stood them up in 2004 was because there was such an outcry to stand the trees up and try to save them. We stood these trees several times in 2004 and paid for each time. In the long run, we would have saved some money.

Mr. Moyer stated Mr. Smith put together a good program on the trees that you thought would survive if they were replanted. Clearly the ones that will not survive will be removed.

Mr. Smith stated we stood up every tree at that time because of the public outcry, and we had about 50% survival rate on the trees that we thought were good enough to stand up.

B. Field Operations

i. Monthly Highlight Report

Mr. Smith reviewed the Field Highlight Report, which is included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.
Mr. Sunnarborg stated I know tree trimming has been a source of frustration for you, but we have requested a number of times to receive a more detailed schedule of their tree trimming. Is that forthcoming or not?

Mr. Smith stated yes. We received one but it did not make sense. We have hired our new office staff member and she is working on following up with all these contractors on every issue we have with them, including this schedule.

ii. Communication and Complaint Log

Mr. Smith reviewed the communication and complaint log, which is included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

C. Attorney

Ms. Carpenter stated we finally have the loan documents for the property swap with The Celebration Company for the CDD to execute. The Celebration Company will also be signing documents and then we will be ready to swap the documents and have the transaction completed. By the next meeting, we will have the signed deed for you.

D. Engineer – Roadway Progress Report

Mr. Smith stated regarding the alley paving in South Village, I know we are looking at doing capital improvements but going forward, I would like to get the process started for the South Village alleys so that we can get proposals and schedule the work for April or May when it is dry.

Mr. Akey asked are you anticipating doing all the alleys?

Mr. Smith stated yes, it is time to do them based on when they were installed. We can review them in case they all do not need it, but the areas around the storm structures need to be replaced.

Mr. Akey stated if you are looking at doing 80% of them, you may as well do them all.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked is this still a good time to purchase that service?

Mr. Smith stated yes.

Mr. Moyer asked are we now done with the conveyance of Celebration Boulevard to the County? Have they accepted that roadway? They said they were going to accept it three other times. Has it gone to the Board of County Commissioners, and have they accepted Celebration Boulevard?

Mr. Akey stated no.
Mr. Vincutonis stated we are almost there and Mr. Akey has been on top of it.

Mr. Smith stated the County is already doing maintenance on that roadway as if they own it, but we are not to the point where I feel we are done.

Mr. Moyer stated we thought two or three times before that we were done with that roadway.

Mr. Akey stated I have a meeting with them and that will be part of the message when they are all in the same room.

E. Chairman – Update on Joint CDD/CROA Issues
Mr. Akey stated we are looking at a date change for the joint workshop with CROA.

Ms. Burgess stated we were looking to schedule a meeting sometime in January. I was just informed that they no longer have their elections in November but in March. We can still try to target a meeting in January for both groups since it might be easier to schedule after the holidays. I will continue to work on getting it scheduled.

Mr. Akey stated I will get with you and suggest some dates to them.

Ms. Burgess stated Tuesdays seem to work for both Boards, so I might suggest the second Tuesday in January since the CDD meets the third Tuesday and CROA meets the fourth Tuesday. It is difficult coordinating everyone and hearing from everyone.

F. The Celebration Company’s Representative
There being nothing to report, the next order of business followed.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Updates and Discussion Items
A. Progress Energy’s Construction Project on Celebration Avenue
Mr. Akey stated for all practical purposes, Progress Energy is complete. There is minor cleanup work. It was very nice of them to attend our meetings and Mr. Robb Brown was very accessible throughout the whole project.

B. Sheriff Services
Mr. Akey stated we would like to table this item until November.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Other Business
Mr. Carlson stated I would like to approve by acclaim our thanks to Mr. Collins for his dedicated service on this Board for so many years. He will be leaving this Board after this meeting.

Mr. Collins stated I have enjoyed serving.

Mr. Akey stated I know we echo those comments, for his service not only on the Board and the audit committee, but he worked with the Halloween traffic planning,
events at the Community Center, and many other activities. We will still plan on Mr. Collins being a member of the audit committee even though you are not a Board member. Your financial expertise is outstanding and very well respected.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated we will miss you on this Board and your financial sense and your challenges. It is a comfort to me that you are always reading the financial reports. We will miss that as well as your work on the audit committee. We are losing our audit committee Chairman, but we hope you will continue as a member. We will lose your background on the maintenance facility, so I hope we can use your expertise on that eventually.

Mr. Collins stated I would love to see that go up. I really believe the CDD Board serves the community very well, as well as Severn Trent. They have done a great job for us. Similar to the CROA Board, what you see up here is 5% of what is involved being a Board member; 95% happens outside the meeting. I know everyone on this Board puts in a lot of time and effort. This is a great Board and I am sure the next Board members will improve on it further.

Mr. Moore stated I want to thank Mr. Collins and I echo his comment that either of the two people running for his seat, if they do only half as much as Mr. Collins has, it will be great for the community.

Ms. Burgess stated last month the Board authorized a proposal to update the sound equipment. I wanted to let you know that included running a snake down the middle instead of individual microphone wires. We have ordered the equipment, but it will cost an additional $20 from the proposal. The soundboard shown on the proposal is no longer available, so I gave them the authorization to proceed in purchasing the new soundboard.

Ms. Burgess stated we also want to get some dates from the Board for an objective-setting workshop in December. Staff’s calendar is pretty open but I know there are parties and children’s programs happening that month, as well. It is sometimes easier to discuss this all together instead of emails, but I can attempt that via email for a December date, unless you want to wait until January when all the holiday parties are over.

Mr. Carlson stated I have one week available in December, which is the second week, between December 8 and 15. I am not available on the 7th but I am after that day.

Ms. Burgess stated you did move your meeting up a week earlier to the 14th.

Mr. Akey stated it sounds like December 8 works for all of us for now.
Ms. Burgess stated I will also email the two candidates for their availability.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS  Supervisor Requests and Comments

There being nothing to report, the next order of business followed.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS  Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Carlson, seconded by Mr. Collins, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at 7:30 p.m.

_________________________________ _________________ ___________________
Tom Sunnarborg, Secretary  Cliff Akey, Chairman