

MINUTES OF MEETING CELEBRATION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Celebration Community Development District was held Tuesday, August 17, 2010, at 6:30 p.m. at 851 Celebration Avenue, Celebration, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Cliff Akey	Chairman
Paul Collins	Vice Chairman
Tom Sunnarborg	Secretary
Lee Moore	Treasurer
Bruce Carlson	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Gary Moyer	Manager: Moyer Management Group
Jan Carpenter	Attorney: Latham, Shuker, Eden & Beaudine
Chirag Kabrawala	Attorney: Latham, Shuker, Eden & Beaudine
Mark Vincutonis	Engineer: Hanson Walter & Associates
Jim Parker	The Celebration Company
Brian Smith	Severn Trent Services
Residents and members of the public	

This is not a certified or verbatim transcript but rather represents the context and summary of the meeting.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Call to Order

Mr. Akey called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Moyer called the roll and stated a quorum was present for the meeting.

Mr. Moyer stated Mr. Carlson called to say he is running late and he will get here as soon as he can.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Akey led the *Pledge of Allegiance*.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Meeting Agenda

Mr. Akey reviewed the agenda and requested any changes.

Mr. Akey stated I would like to add an action item to consider a template for signs that indicate the park closes at dusk. It is a request made by residents at Arbor Circle where there were people in that park late at night.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment Period

Mr. Richard Joossens stated I am committed to raising a flag pole at the high school. We donated the flag pole and now we need assistance to get it raised. I am collecting donations and I would like to know if the Celebration CDD is interested in donating for this project. The cost is about \$5,000. The high school has committed to their share. The cost is for erecting the pole and the purchase of the flag.

Mr. Smith stated that price does not including lighting, and they will need to light the flag pole. I have offered Severn Trent's assistance with my electricians to assist in that effort. We cannot purchase the material needed, but our staff will assist in lighting the flag pole. There is not currently a circuit in that location. They will have to pull a new circuit from one of the existing lights at the field, or they will try to come off their solar panel at the sign at the school's entrance. That will be an in-kind donation from Severn Trent for the labor, but they will need to purchase the materials for the light, which will be another \$1,000.

Mr. Akey asked you are willing to raise funds from business entities and other entities in the community?

Mr. Joossens stated yes.

Mr. Akey stated the CDD has made a contribution to an entity in the past. Will this contribution be to the School District?

Mr. Joossens stated yes. All the permitting will be done through the school. It is a project of the athletic club.

Ms. Carpenter stated then these will be funds paid to another governmental entity.

Mr. Moore stated not if it is paid to the athletic club.

Ms. Carpenter stated if it is paid directly to the school or the School Board, it is a governmental entity. As long as it is paid to the school, that is fine.

Mr. Moore asked is it possible for the money to go directly to the school and not the Booster Club? The CDD cannot give money to the Booster Club.

Mr. Joossens stated it will be paid to the Celebration High School for the purpose of the flag pole noted in the memorandum line.

Ms. Carpenter asked will you accept materials or labor in exchange?

Mr. Joossens stated we will work out any arrangement you desire. I know I will need Mr. Smith's help with the lighting. I have called the Garden Club to provide plants

around the flag pole. The athletic director is very excited to have the flag pole installed this year.

Mr. Akey stated it has been laying on the ground for three years and it is time to get rid of it or install it.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated Mr. Smith took that down there in the first place.

Mr. Smith stated that is correct. They were going to cut it up and throw it away, so I got some volunteers with a truck to move it down to the school. I was trying to promote the idea to just get it installed, but there was no real push for funding. They thought it was a great idea, and I got proposals for \$4,000 to \$5,000 to get it done, but the money never came to fruition. This was the original pole and it would be nice to save it.

Mr. Akey asked is the pole structurally sound after laying down for that long?

Mr. Joossens stated yes. We will double check that with the flag company.

Mr. Akey asked will you be asking CROA for assistance as well?

Mr. Joossens stated yes, this request is on their agenda, and I will also challenge the individual Board members.

Mr. Moyer stated it is my understanding that every senior class has a project that they raise money for and contribute to the school. One year they painted the tornado on the side of the gymnasium. This might be a suggestion for their senior class project.

Mr. Joossens stated I will suggest this to John Bagley as well. I am going through all my contacts for this project.

Mr. Akey stated as a member of the CDD Board, I am willing to assist you and to help make this happen. I do not see any issues that would prevent us from making a contribution.

Mr. Moore asked what is the timing for this project?

Mr. Joossens stated the company said they can complete it two weeks after they receive approval.

Mr. Moore asked do we let them bring this up at another meeting or make a decision now? Otherwise it will be in another month.

Mr. Akey stated they are looking at total costs of \$6,000. If he is looking to CROA and other business entities, I recommend we act on this tonight to contribute \$1,000.

Mr. Moore stated I think Severn Trent is going to donate services in kind. If the CDD donated cash toward the material cost, I would be willing to donate a little more than that.

I would suggest \$2,000 and make them raise the rest of the funds. I would like to see some of the people in school raise that money, too, through various projects.

Mr. Joossens stated the school is contributing their share.

Mr. Akey stated \$2,000 puts us at 33% of the total cost.

Mr. Moore stated I am open to anything up to that amount.

Mr. Akey asked do you want to split the difference and contribute \$1,500?

Mr. Collins stated I am fine with \$2,000.

Mr. Moore stated I have no problem contributing personally, also.

A Resident asked where will it be located?

Mr. Smith stated right behind the entry sign as you go to the high school where there is a big open green space.

Mr. Moore made a MOTION to donate \$2,000 to the Osceola County School Board for the completed flag pole installation at the Celebration High School.
Mr. Collins seconded the motion.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked are there any other legal caveats that are necessary?

Ms. Carpenter asked do you have any conditions on it, other than it be used specifically for that purpose if they raise all the other money?

Mr. Akey stated for this item and this amount of money, I do not see making it contingent on a lot of things.

Ms. Carpenter stated I will check with the School Board's attorney, but I doubt they will have any opposition to it.

Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, approval was given to the above motion.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consent Agenda

A. Minutes of the July 20, 2010, Regular Meeting

B. Invoices and Check Register

Mr. Akey reviewed the Consent Agenda, and requested any corrections, additions, or deletions to the minutes.

Mr. Akey asked what is the invoice for EZ Ice?

Mr. Smith stated we have an ice maker at the compound for the field staff to get ice.

On MOTION by Mr. Sunnarborg, seconded by Mr. Moore, with all in favor, the Consent Agenda was approved.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Hearing to Adopt the Budget for Fiscal Year 2011

A. Fiscal Year 2011 Budget

Mr. Moyer reviewed the fiscal year 2011 budget, which is included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

Mr. Akey stated the budget has been adjusted for a few items, such as staff resources. Do you have those positions finalized?

Mr. Smith stated yes, we filled all three field positions but we lost one person. We are continuing to interview for the clerical position and the maintenance position.

Mr. Akey stated that line item was probably the most significant change in the budget. Since the community is aging, it is needing more maintenance performed.

B. Public Comments

Mr. Moyer stated at the appropriate time, I will ask the Chairman to open the public hearing for public comment. After the public has made their comments, you will close the public hearing and entertain Board discussion before considering the two resolutions that will adopt the budget and levy the non-ad valorem assessments.

Ms. Carpenter stated the public may comment on both the budget and the assessments.

Mr. Moyer stated as we have discussed at previous meetings, the assessments are not anticipated to increase. They are proposed to be the same level that we have levied on properties in Celebration since the inception of the District. There is a contingency item dealing with landscaping that is a fairly substantial amount. If all things go well and we do not have any problems with our current vendor, then in future fiscal years, the Board can decide how to handle that money. At the last meeting, Mr. Collins asked staff to break out those items that we feel are capital related, which we have done. There are not a lot of capital expenditures. Most of the items in this budget are recurring expenses.

Mr. Akey stated I will open the public hearing for comments on the fiscal year 2011 budget and assessments. Hearing no public comments, I will close the public hearing

C. Consideration of Resolution 2010-4 Adopting the Budget for Fiscal Year 2011

Mr. Moyer read Resolution 2010-4 by title into the record.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated it has been 16 years in a row without an increase to the assessments. That is an amazing record. Congratulations to Mr. Moyer and your staff again.

On MOTION by Mr. Sunnarborg, seconded by Mr. Moore, with all in favor, approval was given to Resolution 2010-4 adopting the budget for fiscal year 2011.

D. Consideration of Resolution 2010-5 Imposing and Levying the Assessments for Fiscal Year 2011

Mr. Moyer read Resolution 2010-5 by title into the record.

Ms. Carpenter stated the assessment roll will be attached to this final Resolution. All the parcels are on the tax roll and the assessments will be certified to the tax collector.

On MOTION by Mr. Sunnarborg, seconded by Mr. Moore, with all in favor, approval was given to Resolution 2010-5 imposing and levying the assessments for fiscal year 2011.

E. Consideration of the Meeting Schedule for Fiscal Year 2011

Mr. Moyer stated we are required to annually publish our meeting schedule for these meetings, which is provided in the agenda package. The proposed schedule follows the same meeting schedule that we are currently operating under, which is the third Tuesday of each month at 6:30 p.m. in this location, unless otherwise noted on the schedule.

Mr. Akey stated I recommend moving the December 21, 2010, date up a week to December 14, 2010. I also thought we could schedule a workshop for earlier in that day and look at objectives for 2011 for the Board and staff that we want to accomplish.

On MOTION by Mr. Moore, seconded by Mr. Sunnarborg, with all in favor, approval was given to the meeting schedule for fiscal year 2011, as amended for December 14, 2010.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Action Items for Board Approval

A. Assignment of Sidewalk Connection Approval to CROA

Mr. Akey stated we provided this document to the Board at last month's meeting. This will assign some of the CDD's rights for approval in the CDD right-of-way.

Ms. Carpenter stated we reviewed it and I think it would be helpful for the Board to understand why we need it and to see if we can find a solution that might be a little less formal than this agreement. I know there is a two-step process now for residents to go

through, but I think it would help if people would speak to why we have the need and come up with something for the next meeting that the Board can consider.

Mr. Moyer stated a couple years ago, I was approached by CROA with the idea to streamline a process in which a resident, in order to construct a sidewalk connection to the curb, had to come to the District. We routinely issued those permits. We looked at the materials and made sure that it was not out of the ordinary. Whoever made the request would then take that to CROA and go through the ARC process to get approval. The suggestion was made to me that if we are doing this on a fairly routine basis, let us streamline it and turn it over to CROA to do. We have been doing that for the last couple years. I no longer issue sidewalk connection permits. Those are issued through CROA with our standard conditions, the indemnities and insurance acknowledgement, just as if I had issued the permit. The intent was clearly to make it as simple as possible on the residents. A couple months ago, the District was approached with the idea to make it a more formal relationship and do this by agreement instead of a staff handshake. That is why you are considering this document.

Mr. Drew Locher stated I want to be very clear that these are not sidewalks. When we discuss sidewalks, that brings in curb cuts and other ADA legalities that we do not want to be involved in. What residents are looking at are primarily stepping stones in the right-of-way to get to their mailbox or to their vehicles that are parked in the street. We have a couple criteria that we approve. Normally it is an 18-inch stepping stone. There are a lot of designations as to where it goes and what it looks like. In some instances, we have approved brick pavers that are consistent with the brick paver sidewalk that goes to their home. This agreement will allow for a much easier process instead of residents going through two steps to get approved. They will now just be able to come to CROA for approval. One of the things I want the CDD to understand is that when these extensions are installed, if the CDD has to do any work in that area for any reason and you need to dig up their extension, just leave the pile there. It is the resident's responsibility to restore that, and we are very clear with the home owner. If the CDD needs to remove sidewalks, trees, curbs or anything else for any reason, it is not the CDD's responsibility to restore their leadwalk extension. I am very clear on that with Mr. Smith because he does a lot of work in that right-of-way, and it is not his responsibility to put it back. We are trying to make this as easy as possible with a one-step process, and we are clear with the home

owners that this is not a sidewalk and it is not ADA compliant, but it is a stepping stone that they are putting on CDD property, and it is not the CDD's responsibility to put it back if they perform repair work in that area.

Mr. Moore stated right now ARC is approving it and the CDD is not involved in the process. Why do we need to do something different?

Mr. Locher stated it is your property. What was happening at one time is residents were coming to us with an approval, and we would approve it for aesthetics for the material and where they wanted to put it. We would then have to go to the CDD for your approval. At one time, we were getting some type of form that involved a two-step process. What I think we are trying to do is have a process where you have given us the permission to approve this on your right-of-way for the home owners, with the caveats that protect the CDD.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I like this idea and I think it makes a lot of sense. When I read the first draft of the assignment document, I noted a couple things. It addresses lighting and vegetation. Lighting is something that I think the CDD should retain for making approval because it is electrical and there are safety issues involved. Is that a priority for you?

Mr. Locher stated there should not be any lighting at all. We would never approve that in the right-of-way.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated we will strike that. The word "vegetation" came up a couple times, and I do not want there to be any confusion about the street trees. We are not delegating anything to do with those trees to CROA.

Mr. Locher stated that is correct. We often get submissions to continue the brick paver sidewalk to the curb and they want to landscape the side of the brick pavers, which is also not allowed.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I think you should include the mailboxes in this, so we should leave the stepping stones and the mailboxes in this agreement.

Mr. Locher stated yes, we want the stepping stones and the mailboxes. The mailboxes can be a convoluted issue because there is an assortment of mailbox choices according to the village and the section of that village.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated the agreement did not address the drainage strips that we discussed in previous meetings with Mr. Smith. We are not delegating that to CROA.

Mr. Smith stated we call them drainage pads, and we put them wherever there is a dip in the sidewalk. Instead of replacing long strips of the sidewalk, we will install this drainage pad which will create drainage to the curb.

Mr. Locher stated drainage is not an issue that we will address. We have specific drainage problems and if there is a problem with drainage not being able to go over the grade of the sidewalk, we would direct that to Mr. Smith. It is not something we will deal with because we cannot install underground drainage.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated it sounds like we are in sync; all you really want are stepping stones and mailboxes. Can we draft a document that reads more like a delegation of authority rather than an assignment of our rights?

Ms. Carpenter stated that is what I was thinking of drafting. Would you be able to email me the form of their request?

Mr. Locher stated yes, and we keep requests for mailboxes separate from stepping stones. To address Mr. Sunnarborg's comment on vegetation, we have had some instances where people have changed sod and gone to a different type. Since they are performing the maintenance of their whole property, to allow for continuity on the other side of the sidewalk, we do permit some changes in sod. If people want to add plant material around the tree rings, there is separate criteria for that.

Ms. Carpenter stated I will work on revising this into a delegation. I would like to see copies of their forms to see what people are asking for so that we can tie that into a delegation of the CDD's rights. We might also add a section for the CDD to sign off if we need to.

Mr. Akey asked will we be able to revoke this delegation?

Mr. Moore stated I do not see that in this language.

Ms. Carpenter stated we could offer a six-month delegation and then come back and decide how long we want to extend it. I want to understand the parameters and for which items, and I will provide something for your consideration for next month's meeting.

Mr. Akey stated I just want to be sure that if it does not work, we have a termination clause.

Ms. Carpenter stated yes.

B. Consideration of Park Closes at Dusk Signs

Mr. Akey stated as I mentioned earlier, this was a request from the residents at Arbor Circle. We have had signs in this location before. People tend to congregate in this area

late at night and part of the issue is if you call the sheriff and ask that they be removed, the sheriff will tell you that he cannot because there is no signage. There are two types of signs to consider: this simple mock up and the sign CROA uses.

Mr. Smith stated because our problems are not to the same degree as CROA's problems, I thought we could go with a smaller sign that is still enforceable. We have about six locations where we can use these signs, particularly this location that everyone is concerned about. We can make it so that it matches our boardwalk signs.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked have we been having trouble late at night in our CDD areas?

Mr. Smith stated I would not say it is trouble but there are annoyances.

Mr. Akey stated they get loud at night.

Mr. Smith stated these are areas where people are trying to sleep.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I was on the CROA Board at the time we decided to put up the signs at the CROA parks, but they are private. Can we exclude the public from a public area?

Ms. Carpenter stated yes, a government can impose reasonable restrictions for safety reasons and the like. That is a very legitimate reason. There are some very specific requirements of the signage. For example, this sign does not comply with the Statute, so I will provide Mr. Smith with the exact language. The sign has to be a certain height and the letters have to be a certain height, and the sign must include the name of the owner of the property.

Mr. Moore stated I was also on the CROA Board when we approved signs in the CROA parks. The reason was there was damage in the playground area, then all of a sudden, these signs were in every park, even parks where there was no playground material or anything to be damaged. I would like to remove the signs from CROA parks because I think there are too many. I do not like the idea that you cannot be in a CROA park after dusk. I intentionally purchased a house across from a park, and now I cannot be in that area after dark. For me, I do not know if the right path is to put up another sign that says we will trespass someone. I would like to remove 99% of them around town now. I was on the CROA Board at the time they were approved, and they were specifically for vandalism in parks that had playground material.

Mr. Collins stated I requested the signs in Longmeadow Park, and it was not just because of damage to the facilities. In a park that is surrounded by homes, the issue

became that kids were in that park at midnight, 1:00 a.m. and 2:00 a.m. and being very loud, and it was a disturbance to all the neighbors. There was also the issue of damage, and I have been in other communities with urban parks surrounded by homes. Most of the time, the rule is that you cannot be in there after dark. That does not mean that if I am walking my dog on the sidewalk at night, the police will trespass me. The issue is if there is a disturbance or if there is a problem, it allows law enforcement to act on it and to deal with it. I totally agree with Mr. Moore about signs; I do not like signs. If that is a requirement to deal with the disturbances we have, then we need the sign. We have a unique situation here with our urban parks and how our town was designed, and unfortunately we have to deal with it. For Longmeadow Park, it has been very effective and the occurrence of disturbances has reduced dramatically. It would happen two or three nights a week being disturbed with people being very loud in the park.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked is this just one place?

Mr. Akey stated yes.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated the reason I asked the question of the legal implication is we do not have to be thoroughly consistent that all CDD parks have a closing time. Can we do as Mr. Smith is suggesting by putting up one sign at one trouble spot?

Ms. Carpenter stated yes, if there is one trouble spot.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated what I would be opposed to is the scenario that Mr. Moore mentioned, where all of a sudden all the trails or boardwalks are closed after a certain time.

Mr. Collins stated I agree.

Mr. Smith stated we are looking at just one location. The thing about this type of issue is that we can address this issue individually as problems arise, but it is similar to killing fire ants. If you kill one mound, they simply move to another location. We will deal with Arbor Park and relieve the problem and then address any others as we need to. Right now, we are requesting one location.

Mr. Locher stated on the signage guidelines, there are two guidelines that we follow: the original guidelines from The Celebration Company and additional guidelines that ARC has in place. The signage that the CDD installs is from the original design patterns, and Mr. Smith is very aware of those requirements with aluminum poles painted Celebration green. The signs that were done by CROA do not meet any guidelines that

are in place. They are incorrect signs—the wrong font, the wrong color, the wrong pole material—so if the signs you install are done according to the guidelines, there will not be a problem. I understand what Mr. Moore is saying about all the signs, and after a while when you look at them, they tend to go away.

Mr. Moore stated they do not go away for me. When I look at the park, the first thing I see is the sign that says the park closes at dusk. It is at every entrance to every park. I do not believe it does anything to preclude anyone from showing up. If people are being loud, people will call the police because they are being loud. If anyone is not being loud but just sitting in the park, they are not breaking the law after dusk so we have no reason to remove them. If people are being loud, we have every right to remove them. We do not need a sign that says they are trespassing. If anyone is causing a disturbance, you have every right to ask them to quit causing the disturbance. Trespass and disturbance are two different issues. Trespass is a written record that they are not ever allowed to return to that place for a certain amount of time. There is a difference between trespassing and causing a disturbance. Trespassing someone is a big deal. That is my view and I think this is overkill.

Mr. Akey asked are you talking the overkill is the CROA signs or the one Mr. Smith is proposing?

Mr. Moore stated I think it is the same thing. It is just another sign in another park. Next month it may be another park with another sign. Right now, every single park has a sign and this is one that we just forgot that has a different type of grass on it.

Mr. Akey stated none of the CDD parks have this type of sign.

Mr. Smith stated the sign we are proposing matches the boardwalk signs, which the Board approved. CROA has very large signs and we are proposing a smaller one. In order to enforce no trespassing, we need the sign.

Mr. Moore stated if we need it to enforce something, then we need the sign. I just do not feel there is the need. There are 30 of these signs in the community and I do not want to add another one. I hate seeing them and I do not think there is a reason for it.

Mr. Collins asked have there been experiences where the police have come out and not enforced anything because there was no sign?

Mr. Moore stated the reason CROA installed the signs was we had vandalism in the parks. Someone assumed it happened overnight, and the only way to keep people out of

there at night is to put up a sign since the police said we cannot trespass anyone without the sign. We thought signs would stop people from vandalizing the playgrounds, but it did not help. The point is that the signs did not do what they were intended to do. We hired park monitors.

Mr. Collins asked related to this park, do we know whether or not the police have said they needed a sign? If you have a disturbance and there are no signs, I do not have a problem not having the sign.

Mr. Moore stated I do not think the police have ever said that to CROA. I think there was some vandalism so CROA installed the signs.

Mr. Akey stated that is an issue for CROA's parks. Mr. Collins makes some good points. I know we have issues at Longmeadow Park.

Mr. Moore stated the sheriff would not go to the park so we hired park monitors, and that is what actually stopped the disturbances at Longmeadow Park. I doubt the signs had any effect.

Mr. Akey stated we are considering a smaller sign for Arbor Park that say Park Closes at Dusk, just one sign. Ms. Carpenter will provide the verbiage that must be on the sign, and Mr. Smith will provide us with a revised draft. We will table this item for the next meeting. If we have calls in that area in the meantime, we will encourage them to call the sheriff's department. I will also ask the sheriff what their position is if we call them for a disturbance.

Mr. Floyd McCollum stated I have talked with the sheriff about this issue, and they will not come in unless it is posted.

Mr. Akey stated I will verify their position with them.

Mr. McCollum stated the first question they ask is if there is a sign indicating the park is closed. If you say no, they will not come out.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I have a question regarding protocol. I did not mean to recognize someone from the audience to speak on a previous item if that was inappropriate. Is that a function for the Chairman?

Mr. Akey stated no, I am comfortable with any member of the Board recognizing a member of the audience to speak on an item.

C. Consideration of Mosquito Control Bids

Mr. Smith stated I provided a handout including the information the Board requested last month. We added Clarke's proposals for Option B and Option C. I wrote each of the

major work efforts included in the scope of services. Since all of the contractors developed their own programs, they did not stay completely with our scope of services, which we implied as part of the RFP, that we wanted them to prepare the programs because they are the experts. We allowed them that opportunity. Each one of them did that, and they are all very knowledgeable contractors. From this information, the Board needs to determine the lowest cost provider for these services.

Mr. Akey stated now we can review the prices on a comparable level, as we discussed last month. We put the specifications together and asked for pricing for those specifications. The bidders should provide that information. In addition, they can provide a recommended alternative, and we asked them for their recommended alternative.

Mr. Smith stated most of them did provide that. Vector is a large company and very good. The majority of their plan is to do larviciding in the wetlands. I contacted RCID as far as us being allowed to larvicide in the wetlands. Vector's idea is very good. It would be a good way to get control of the mosquitoes. Unfortunately because of the upcoming requirements as far as water quality, there will be no larviciding permitted in the wetlands in the future. In April 2011, that will be considered pollution and you will be fined. It is serious enough that you could be arrested for it. There are almost two full pages in the RFP indicating that the contractor must contact all the local agencies and that they understand what the requirements are, including RCID, EPA, SFWMD and so forth. It is up to the contractors to know that. It seems that Vector did not contact the local authorities to find out if they could do that before they provided us with their proposal. They did subsequently send us a letter saying that they understand now that they cannot larvicide but they will honor their price and they will perform adulticiding.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated at our last meeting, I also challenged you to pursue the idea of larviciding in the wetland buffer, which technically is not part of the wetland.

Mr. Smith stated the person I spoke with at RCID said that if we do that on the 20-foot buffer, it will not be very effective because the swamp is behind it where the majority of the mosquitoes are breeding, and they can fly quite long distances. Doing that bit of larviciding on the buffer will not matter much. Because of the new requirements beginning April 2011, even the wetland buffer will be off limits. He mentioned that some of the smaller companies in California are going out of business because they were getting fined for adulticiding, or ULV spraying, too close to conservation areas. That is

another concern that we have to consider in the future as to how we are going to manage that. Some of it will be done through barrier treatments and making sure we use the proper products and knowing wind directions.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated Vector made an intriguing proposal. With that answer that you cannot larvicide in the wetlands or in the wetland buffer, it really invalidates their whole proposal. Even if they sent you a letter that says they will honor their price, there is no detail about what their program includes.

Mr. Smith stated that is correct.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I personally liked their proposal and their approach. I know they got the attention of the Go Green group. I think their proposal is invalid.

Mr. Smith stated I agree. I liked their proposal, as well. They are a good company. They are large and they are a strong company. They went strong in one direction without finding out the requirements.

Mr. Moore stated I liked their proposal, also; I think we all did. I like our current contractor, as well. In looking at their price, I feel confident that it is a big company. I would venture to say they are second compared to Clarke. If they are going to stand by their numbers, I presume they will come up with a plan that will fit Celebration. On the other hand, I like the idea of coming with a clean slate, whether it is Clarke or someone else, having someone we trust come up with a new plan and doing something different in Celebration. Even Clarke provided that. I have done some research on some of the companies. Vector is doing a lot of work in California, and I feel confident they can perform the work. I did not feel like that aspect invalidated their bid.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I chose that word carefully. I do not think we should disqualify them, which is too strong. It is hard to compare them without the detail about the bid.

Mr. Moore stated in one of the Clarke options, they discuss a lot more larviciding also, which dropped the price.

Mr. Smith stated larviciding is catch basin larviciding, which we did not include as part of the scope of services but most of the contractors added that as part of their larviciding plan. That is what we were looking for, a plan from them rather than us giving them a plan. We wanted to see if we could get something better and something fresher. On the material I distributed, I highlighted a few things for you. Some of these prices were extremely low and this is where Dr. Parsons indicated that they just cannot do it for

that price. I also provided you with some of those notes. Some of these prices are so low and it will be very difficult to provide the service for that amount.

Mr. Moore stated I would like to review option C from Clarke and compare that to what we are doing now. They are the current contractor so perhaps they have a bit of an advantage.

Mr. Akey stated their option C is a response to an alternative program.

Mr. Smith stated it includes using different chemicals. We have some redundancy in here. We wanted to go up to 10 light traps, where they have gone down to 8. They have created enough history to know where the best locations are to have light traps. We are reducing the light traps. On resting collections for option C, they will do all the resting collections out in the field and do all their counts in the field which is why the price is lower. The resting collections are taken in the optimal locations where you know mosquitoes are. Downtown has a resting collection because we are concerned about that area. The ball fields has a resting collection site and by the schools. For immature surveillance, they reduced the number of inspections per year. Although their unit cost is high, we had a little trouble understanding what they meant by those numbers and why their unit costs were different from all the other contractors. They did follow the scope of services in the request.

Mr. Collins stated it is clear that there are two items Clarke is reducing in their options B and C, and everything else stays the same. The two items that they are reducing, light traps and resting collections, decreased their price by \$50,000. I would love to take this savings. Is there any concern in doing fewer light traps and fewer resting collections?

Mr. Smith stated in their presentation, they said that they think they can provide a very good program at those lower numbers. They are changing some things, doing a little more of some things, adding more catch basins, and they are creating a program. Each company had the opportunity to do that, and each company provided that. Except for Clarke's option A, none of the other contractors really followed the actual scope. Everyone else lowered the numbers and moved things around and created a program. Some of their unit costs, you could justify. For Southern Mosquito, I could understand their unit costs but for Fleming and Vector, some of their unit costs were really low. There is one page in the handout that shows a comparison for each company and it

compares all those numbers. ULV spraying is the major thing we do here. If you look at Clarke's option C, they still have the same amount of mileage but they have a fewer number of treatments per year from 219 to 115. Unit costs for ULV spraying for Clarke and Southern Mosquito are in line, whereas you cannot make the same comparison for Vector. I asked Dr. Parsons how they can perform this work for that unit cost, and he said they cannot even fill the vehicle with fuel for that dollar amount.

Mr. Moore stated I tried to do my own analysis of these numbers and moved numbers around. Vector has the highest number of light traps and resting collections, and I am hearing that because of Clarke's history, they feel they do not need as many light traps and resting collections.

Mr. Smith stated based on their presentation, they will locate them in the optimum areas to get the best results and they will reduce the number of actual counts. They will keep it to a period of time when we have the most mosquitoes. During the winter when it is very cold, they will obviously not perform the counts.

Mr. Moore stated I feel pretty confident with all of these companies because you vetted them. If a competent company came in and said they could do it for a lower number, I feel pretty confident that these companies will still be around tomorrow.

Mr. Smith stated that is another concern that I had. I provided the last two years of contract dollar amounts. Two of the contractors are very good but they do not have enough support in case something happens, for example, an issue with West Nile Virus. In talking with RCID, I have a new perspective on the mosquito business. A lot of smaller companies are going out of business because they just cannot keep up with all the requirements. If they get one citation, it could shut them down.

Mr. Moore asked would you consider Vector and Clarke being the larger companies and Fleming and Southern Mosquito the smaller companies?

Mr. Smith stated yes. If we were only doing a few parks and a couple baseball fields and it was a \$50,000 contract, then I would be comfortable with a smaller company doing the work. The person working for Fleming is very experienced, but the actual company does not have that much experience. It has two projects and they have the backing of a very large chemical company, which is good, and they have a very good reference from the chemical company. Do they have the resources if something happens? That is a concern that we have to take into consideration.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked are you prepared to make staff's recommendation?

Mr. Smith stated we attached the evaluation criteria and provided our recommendation in the back of the handout. I am looking at the lowest dollar amount from all of the proposals provided by all the contractors. They provided us with their plans and I think the lowest dollar is the one to go with, which is Clarke's option C. We provided our scoring for each of the firms on that page.

Mr. Carlson asked if you find that option C offered by Clarke is not doing the job, will we need to authorize more treatments?

Mr. Smith stated I am not looking to do any work authorizations or change service authorizations to the contract. We asked for control of mosquitoes in Celebration. Clarke said in their presentation that they believe option C will control the mosquito population in Celebration.

Mr. Collins stated we requested a program to do that as safely and effectively as possible.

Mr. Smith stated that is correct, as safe or safer than what we have already.

Mr. Moyer asked is the scoring a composite of the results from Mr. Smith, Dr. Parsons, and Ms. Fuentes?

Mr. Smith stated yes.

Mr. Moyer asked do you recall what Dr. Parsons's recommendation or scoring was individually?

Mr. Smith stated he recommended Clarke option C.

Mr. Moore stated this year we changed our landscaping company with considerable savings. I think we are still in the midst of that, and I think that will turn out to be a good change. We are looking at possibly changing our mosquito control contractor. It appears that the low bid you are recommending is by Clarke. Some of them are close in dollar figures. I think, being a resident for many years, Clarke has been a big part of the community and I appreciate everything they have done. I would like to have a change that is not a huge change in terms of one company not being a large dollar company. Vector is the second biggest company and I felt very comfortable with them. I liked the fact that they are starting from scratch with us. For me, that is something that I think some of the residents would appreciate a different approach, someone coming in with a clean slate who does not have the history in terms of how many times we spray, too much

or too little spray. I like the difference. I like the education aspect they talked about. Clarke option C does not put any employees here all the time, and Vector puts two employees here full time. Those things outweigh the other things. I think for us, we have had a great experience with Clarke. They are a big company and they will be here if this does not work out, just like we discussed with the change in our landscaping contractor. I believe a company that specialized in California that is a big company doing work in Florida and being different in terms of their approach appeals to me. I would like to see something different in Celebration. I think we should start with someone who said their first idea is not spraying, and then work from there and see what they can do. They will spray; everyone said they will spray. I would like to see a big company with a different approach. They have the resources and their bid is very reasonable. I will promote and vote for Vector.

Mr. Carlson stated the approach they are suggesting is not one we can use. Larviciding in the wetlands will not be allowed and there is nothing in the contract that accommodates that. Their ideas are good, but you have to have things that will work for our community and at a lower price. I would not support that recommendation.

Mr. Moore stated I wish you had been here for their presentation. A lot of people talked about larviciding and Vector talked about it probably more than anyone else. They will put it in the catch basins like everyone else. They will do exactly what everyone else will do. They will kill mosquitoes. They have a price for their work and they are the second biggest company providing bids. They are different than what we have now. If they cannot larvicide, they will not do it, just like the others will not do it. They will have to do it in the catch basins like everyone else. For me, it is not any different. Their bid is based on exactly what they said. Whatever it is, they are here to eradicate mosquitoes, and they provided their price. If they have to spray more, they will spray more. If they have to spray less, they will spray less. I believe all the other vendors feel the same way. I hear what you are saying, but it does not discount what they said. If you look at these numbers, everyone's numbers have some flaws. Clarke came in with three price options. It was a good idea, but they have the contract now and they are paring down part of it. We can save money by going with Vector and we will have a new company that is very well respected, as is Clarke. They are also not producing some of their own chemicals, which means they can use a lot of other chemicals including some things that are more

green than what Clarke is using now. Clarke said they have some new chemicals that are coming.

Mr. Collins stated I have learned more about mosquitoes over the last two years and I would be inclined to go with the recommendation from Dr. Parsons. I think we all respect him and he was recommended by the Go Green group. The second point I have, and this is my big concern with Vector, is it is my impression that they do not have much experience in Florida. We are very unique and we have a very serious issue with mosquitoes. They are not nearly as big as Clarke, and they certainly do not have the same experience in Florida. I would not want to put the community at risk with a company that, based on their presentation, did not really have the familiarity with Florida. I would be very concerned with bringing in a new organization. I do not mind bringing in someone new, and I am sure we have read in the newspapers that there has been an outbreak of dengue, which is a very serious mosquito-borne illness. I am reluctant to try something new in the current environment. This is something that I think is very different from landscape maintenance. Landscaping is mowing but mosquito control is something that I am very reluctant to make a change unless there is a very strong, compelling reason.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated as Mr. Collins said, we all have learned a lot about mosquito control. We are not experts but I share what Mr. Collins said, that because we are not experts, I will defer to Dr. Parsons and to staff's recommendation. I will also point out that the math is hard to ignore. The current contract is basically \$313,000 a year and Clarke's option C is a \$53,000 savings. The difference between Clarke option C and Vector is \$43,000. I am in favor of Clarke option C.

<p>Mr. Carlson made a MOTION to award a contract to Clarke Mosquito Control for mosquito control services, option C. Mr. Collins seconded the motion.</p>

A Resident asked what is the amount for option C?

Mr. Smith stated \$259,949.

Mr. Moore stated our current contract is \$313,000 so several of the bids would provide a savings to the District.

Mr. Dana Dunklau stated I represent Univar, the chemical provider for Fleming Pest Management. You have conveyed that Dr. Parsons said there is no way to do a competent

program for the price that Fleming Pest Management has proposed, but yet you are accepting one for less.

Mr. Smith stated it is the unit cost, not the program. It is the unit cost associated with the work involved. Vector has a unit cost of \$5.61 to do a mile of ULV spraying seems a little low.

Mr. Dunklau stated you knew exactly what you were going to accept and what you would not. Why even go through the bid process?

Mr. Smith stated we looked at the mileage and the labels of the products to determine what is acceptable.

Mr. Dunklau asked can you prove that is impossible?

Mr. Smith stated I am not saying I can prove it. I am just saying that we believe it cannot be done for \$5.61 for ULV spraying.

Mr. Dunklau stated you are saying it cannot be done.

Mr. Smith stated Dr. Parsons did not think it could be done.

Mr. Dunklau asked does he have a formal report that he has written up for you?

Mr. Smith stated no, but I can probably get one. He has provided his notes.

Mr. Akey stated he attended all the meetings.

Mr. Dunklau stated I would like to see that report.

Mr. Smith stated I will request that he write a letter.

Mr. Dunklau stated it is also my impression that we were allowed to submit only one quote per company.

Mr. Smith stated no, it was to submit a mosquito control program. We gave parameters and the scope of services to provide a program. Fleming provided a program and they did a nice job. Clarke took the opportunity to provide three options. We had a pre-bid meeting for all the contractors to attend and ask all your questions. We were open to all questions. We received all your emailed questions. We responded to them. We gave you plenty of opportunity before the bid as well as during your presentation to ask questions of the Board and to answer their questions. The unit cost was difficult for us to understand how you could do it at that cost, knowing what needed to be done.

Mr. Pete Deglomine stated I represent Clarke Mosquito Control. In reference to the question Mr. Dunklau asked about having the opportunity to submit more than one quote,

an email was sent to Mr. Smith's office requesting whether or not we could do that. We were told that we could. I am not sure if Mr. Dunklau was on the official response list.

Mr. Smith stated we did receive Mr. Deglomine's email and our response to that question was that they can add anything they want. We want a program.

Mr. Moore stated I appreciate what you are saying. It is hard to do this kind of bid process and staff did a great job, even though I am not going with your recommendation. I feel comfortable that we will have a company that can do the job. It is so difficult, not being an expert, looking at all this information. I think everyone put it together differently. For me, it is not the miles. I believe all the contractors will perform the work as necessary. I do not understand the miles, but we are a large community and we will default with a larger company, especially in light of the conversations about smaller companies not making it in this business. I liked your information but I was not as comfortable because you are a smaller company.

Mr. Dunklau stated I opened the bid package and it says one bid per company.

Mr. Moore stated if someone asked a question and it was replied that you could do that, then it is permissible.

Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor except Mr. Moore, approval was given to award a contract to Clarke Mosquito Control for mosquito control services, option C.

Mr. Akey stated thank you all for attending and participating in this bid process.

Mr. Moore stated thank you also to Dr. Parsons.

Mr. Akey stated it was a lot of work and our thanks to staff also.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. District Manager – Financial Statements

Mr. Moyer reviewed the financial statements, which are included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

B. Field Operations

i. Monthly Highlight Report

Mr. Smith reviewed the Field Highlight Report, which is included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

ii. Communication and Complaint Log

Mr. Smith reviewed the communication and complaint log, which is included in the agenda package and available in the District Office for public review during normal business hours.

iii. Tree Trimming

Mr. Smith stated we are pruning trees along Celebration Avenue and attempting to do that in a manner that does not impede traffic. They are doing a good job overall but I do have some issue with the pruning of the trees, so they will have their head arborist come out and review their methods to be sure they are doing it the way they are supposed to. They will be able to go back and clean them up a little. There are a couple other locations of concern, so the arborist is going to review those. I do not think they have the best staff doing the pruning. I think they are splitting up two crews. One is more for the streets and they are using both of those guys. We need to train them a little better as far as some of the pruning activity.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked instead of Girard going through and doing 100% of them perfectly, could we send a couple guys out and just get the low-hanging branches? That will help us avoid people getting poked in the eye.

Mr. Smith stated I did direct them in that direction because they were starting to hack the trees. I will meet with the project supervisor and the arborist to get a better program.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I asked the other day if they would hit one troublesome spot in my neighborhood, and they were there the next day. There are a lot of places like that.

Mr. Smith stated there are a lot of troublesome areas.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated perhaps this can even be done by one of your new employees.

Mr. Smith stated I just purchased a pole saw for that purpose and I will assign one of my staff to that in the alleys and on sidewalks. I will keep Girard more focused on what they are supposed to be doing.

C. Attorney

Mr. Kabrawala stated we executed the real property exchange agreement for the land swap with The Celebration Company. We got the counterpart signature on August 4, 2010, so the agreement is dated August 4, 2010. We received title in accordance with the agreement and in the meantime there is a 60-day due diligence period where we can take up to 60 days to do our due diligence. One of the concerns was to wrap this up as soon as

possible. If for any reason we need to examine the property we are receiving, we have time to do that.

Mr. Sunnarborg asked other than the title, is there any other due diligence to do?

Mr. Kabrawala stated no.

Ms. Carpenter stated we have discussed this with the engineer what to do for long-term plans and an environmental report is a standard due diligence task. Whether or not we need that since we know the ownership and the history, we will look into it and talk with Mr. Moyer. That is the only expenditure I can think of to recommend to you at this point, other than the title. If anyone has any concerns, please let us know within a week and we will coordinate with Mr. Smith and the engineer if we need to do anything more.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated my concern is the temporary parking, that we get that taken care of as soon as possible.

Mr. Akey stated I agree. We have been saying that for a number of months.

D. Engineer – Roadway Progress Report

Mr. Smith stated I met with the County last week and they indicated they would start work this week on the trip wires at Celebration Place. Hopefully that will happen.

Mr. Akey stated the crosswalks, curb patching and other items can wait a bit. Let him get the Celebration Place work started and then we bring him out and discuss these other items.

Mr. Smith stated it is a good group of people and they are very busy. They have a tight budget, but they have committed that they will get this fixed. After that is fixed, we will move them along to other projects, one at a time.

E. Chairman – Update on Joint CDD/CROA Issues

Mr. Akey stated we do not have any outstanding issues. I believe they have all been addressed.

Mr. Moyer stated we have targeted a joint meeting with CROA for October, and that is proving to be somewhat difficult to get everyone to attend. It was supposed to be October 14, 2010, and Mr. Carlson will be out of town. If you give us permission to keep trying to set that date, we will work with Town Hall to get a convenient date for everyone.

Mr. Akey stated the hard part is getting a date that works for everyone. Please contact them to see if a different date works.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated if it goes into November, we will have a new CDD Board member. It might be good timing for our new Supervisor.

Mr. Moyer stated we can look at the third week of November.

F. The Celebration Company's Representative

Mr. Parker stated we will continue to work with Mr. Kabrawala on the property exchange. There are a couple easement exhibits that we need, which we have already requested from the engineer. The work on Celebration Place at U.S. 192 has been moving smoothly. We have not received any complaints about that project, either.

Mr. Akey asked does the State have the oversight of that project?

Mr. Parker stated Osceola County is responsible for Celebration Place; however, DOT is responsible for U.S. 192. We will finish the left turn lane on Celebration Place first and then we will complete the right turn lane. Once those are complete, we will move to U.S. 192 and install another left turn lane coming into Celebration Place as well as an additional signal. That work is progressing on schedule. We are working with Verizon on the cell tower. They were interested locating a tower on the Progress Energy substation site, but Progress Energy decided that they do not want a cell tower on their property after all. At that point, Verizon came to us and there is an area behind the substation that The Celebration Company does own that is designated for a future parking lot. We decided that it would be appropriate to put a cell tower there, so we gave them authorization to move forward in that direction. That is going into design and permitting efforts now, and the costs of that are being borne by Verizon.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated thank you for donating that land for a cell phone tower.

Mr. Parker stated we are allowing a year for permitting, since there are so many agencies to go through: Osceola County, RCID, SFWMD.

A Resident asked did that area seem to be a good solution?

Mr. Parker stated it is the best solution we have for now. It will not take care of everything. We always thought the Artisan Park condominiums should be considered, and this may not impact them. Technology changes so there may be something available in the future. Verizon also committed to putting a cell tower there that will reach a certain number of homes, and they will install an amplifier to try to increase coverage from that location. This is just one carrier and we hope they will meet with AT&T since they have expressed interest in being here in the past. Verizon has expressed that they would like multiple carriers on that tower so we are hopeful it will work out.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Updates and Discussion Items

A. Award Granted to the Southeast Energy Efficiency Alliance

Mr. Akey stated I talked with Shailesh Adhav today, and he is out of town traveling. I will meet with him when he returns.

B. Progress Energy's Construction Project on Celebration Avenue

Mr. Smith stated they have been doing a great job on this project.

Mr. Robb Brown stated I am the project manager for this project, and I am overseeing the feeder pipe project along Celebration Avenue from Waterside Drive down to Stonecutter Drive. We started on schedule on Monday, August 9, 2010. The contractor was more aggressive than we anticipated. We had 12 sidewalk closures by the end of the week. To date, four of those have been restored and four more are scheduled to be restored by the end of this week. We are about one-third done, approximately two and a half weeks ahead of schedule. Our 14-week schedule could be cut as much as 50% providing we keep running as smoothly as we have. Mr. Smith's staff has been phenomenal working with us and identifying utilities in the field, storm drains and things like that. The community has been great, receptive to what we have been doing. They have approached me as well as my job site manager on several occasions on Celebration Avenue expressing appreciation for the cleanup efforts and safety efforts. We spoke with the middle school today and we understand that school starts on Monday. We are geared up, providing that we do not have the sidewalks open, and we are prepared to do some pedestrian flagging at the four-way stop at Spring Park Loop.

Mr. Smith stated if they get beyond Heritage Hall, there will not be any kids because they all walk on the other side.

Mr. Brown stated the sidewalks will be open all the way to Heritage Hall with the exception of one area that we are concerned about, which is Waterside Drive. The only reason is we are having some locate issues with some SmartCity fiber. Because of the importance of that facility and the cost of damage, our contractor is taking some measures to make sure that is protected. That was on the critical path and will be the first thing we complete. We had some other locate issues and challenges that we discovered once we got on site, but for the most part, it has all been resolved. We have someone on site every day with our contractor and he is one of their safety coordinators. He is coordinating the effort for traffic control. We discussed this and they are trying to bore that by Thursday, but I am not sure if the Progress Energy crews can get here on Friday

to do the splicing and be complete by Monday. We should, however, be complete by Tuesday or Wednesday of next week and have the sidewalk back open. They are making some revisions to that intersection. Beginning tomorrow, we are not doing any lane closures. However, we will be diverting traffic. In some cases when we start doing the bores, such as early tomorrow morning, when we setup on the side of the road, on the opposite side of the homes past Nash, we will be blocking that lane but we will be utilizing cones and construction methods to still maintain two lanes of traffic in that area. We are not doing anything to close down any lanes or disturb traffic in any way. I am in constant communication with Mr. Smith and we have not had any resident issues.

Mr. Smith stated I have not received a single complaint and I do not believe CROA has either.

Mr. Brown stated we are ahead of schedule and we hope to continue that. Right now we have approximately 3,000 feet complete out of 8,300 feet.

C. Sheriff Services

Mr. Akey stated Dan Tomsick was going to discuss this item, but he is not here, so we will table this for the next agenda. I will meet with Mr. Tomsick and ask about the status of providing a presentation for both CROA and the CDD and what their plans are.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

Mr. Moore stated I would like Mr. Moyer and his staff to calculate how much it costs to provide these agenda packages, including paper costs. There may be a better way to provide this information.

Mr. Moyer stated it is several thousand dollars each year.

Mr. Moore stated if we look at the numbers, we might be able to come up with a better solution that is more environmentally friendly, such as pdf files. I do not want to suggest anything until we have looked at the numbers.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated I have been thinking about the same thing that Mr. Akey mentioned about having an objective-setting workshop. Mr. Akey suggested December.

Mr. Akey stated it can also be in November.

Mr. Sunnarborg stated the general election is November 2, 2010, so we will have a new member at our November meeting. I would like to schedule a special workshop with the Supervisors and staff to set objectives for fiscal year 2011. If everyone is generally in favor of that, in the meantime we can each think about our top five or ten things that we

can score ourselves against at the end of that fiscal year. As a follow up on an assignment that this Board gave me a couple months ago regarding the film permitting, last month this Board approved the process that we laid out but there was still one more task item, for me to talk to the CROA Board and enlist their support. We had a lively debate about the last remaining issue related to additional insureds, and it was not unanimous but the CROA Board voted in favor of the proposal as recommended. That issue is finished and closed. I talked with the Film Commission a couple days ago. There have not been any new applications to test our new process but we are all looking forward to running the new process through the next one. Another assignment you gave me that we have been working on for a year is the U.S. 192 newsracks. That issue is over. I did as you asked at last month's meeting and I went to speak with each of the five County Commissioners. I also spoke with the County Manager and gave them a summary of our position calmly and rationally. They were all very receptive. It is not that they did not care but their minds were already made up. It did come up at the County Commission meeting the way that we heard it would. They voted on it and approved the contract amendment to the vendor. The issue is over and we did not prevail on that. I would like to thank Commissioner Michael Harford for his assistance. He is not up for election this year, so this is not a campaign speech. He did support us and he was especially knowledgeable about this issue and took more time to try to understand it than anyone else at the County. I would like to thank him personally for sticking up for us. Thank you for your help. It is nice to know that we can count on our Commissioner when we need something.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor Requests and Comments

There being none, the next order of business followed.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Moore, seconded by Mr. Sunnarborg, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at 8:15 p.m.
--

Tom Sunnarborg, Secretary

Cliff Akey, Chairman